# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name : <u>CHEMTECH</u>		Client :	Remington & Ver	nick E	ngine	ers		
Project	t Location : NJ		Project Number :	0514T294					
Labora	atory Sample ID(s) : N5992		Sampling Date(s):	12/08/2022					
List Dk	(QP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,	8270, et Cetra) <b>8082A</b>							
1	For each analytical method ref specified QA/QC performance explain any criteria falling outs NJDEP Data of Known Quality	criteria followed, including t de of acceptable guidelines	he requirement to		$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	Yes		No	
1A	Were the method specified ha	ndling, preservation, and ho	Iding time requirement	s met?	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH me Section 11.3 of respective DK0		nificant modifications (	see		Yes		No	✓ N/A
2	Were all samples received by described on the associated cl				$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples received at an	appropriate temperature (4:	±2° C)?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance standards achieved?	criteria specified in the NJDI	EP DKQP		$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	Yes		No	
5	a)Were reporting limits specific communicated to the laborator		n-of-custody or		V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these reporting limits r	net?				Yes		No	□ N/A
6	For each analytical method ref results reported for all constitu presented in the DKQP docum	ents identified in the metho	d-specific analyte lists		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-specific matrix spik	es and/or laboratory duplica	ates included in this dat	ta set?	V	Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

AOC2-B4-W5



# **Cover Page**

Order ID: N5992

N5992-03

**Project ID:** Wildwood DPW Storage Yard Site - # 0514T294

**Client:** Remington & Vernick Engineers

Lab Sample Number	Client Sample Number
N5992-01	AOC2-B4-V1
N5992-02	AOC2-B4-S5

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
0.5	Date:	12/20/2022

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Remington & Vernick Engineers** 

Project Name: Wildwood DPW Storage Yard Site - # 0514T294

Project # N/A

**Chemtech Project # N5992** 

**Test Name: PCB** 

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Solid samples were received on 12/09/2022.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB. This data package contains results for PCB.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD\_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25  $\mu$ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

This data package has been revised to report Total PCB as per client request.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

#### F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Signature	C: 4		
	Signature		



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. " $10\mathrm{U}$ ". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> </ul>
В	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
E	Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements



#### APPENDIX A

### **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

**Project #: N5992** 

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	✓
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	✓
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u>✓</u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	
1st Level QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date:	12/20/2022
2nd Level QA Review Signature: Date:	