



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION  
FORM S-1

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

NYSDEC Sample ID/Code	Laboratory Sample ID/Code	VOA GC/MS (Method #)	BNA GC/MS (Method #)	VOA GC (Method #)	Pest PCBs (Method #)	Metals (Method #)	Other (Method #)
SB-02(2-4)	O3631-01	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
SB-04(2-4)	O3631-04	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
SB-07(3-5)	O3631-05	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
SB-09(3-5)	O3631-06	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
SB-10(5-6)	O3631-07	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
SB-11(3.5-4.5)	O3631-08	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
DUP	O3631-09	8260D					Chemtech -SOP
RINSATE-BLANK	O3631-10	8260D, 8260-Low					Chemtech -SOP



## NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

## FORM S-IIb

## SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY VOLATILE (VOA) ANALYSES

Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Rec'd at Lab	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
O3631-01	SOIL	07/11/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-04	SOIL	07/11/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-05	SOIL	07/11/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-06	SOIL	07/11/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-07	SOIL	07/12/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-08	SOIL	07/12/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-09	SOIL	07/11/23	07/14/23		07/17/23
O3631-10	Water	07/12/23	07/14/23		07/14/23

\* Details For Test : VOCMS Group1



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

FORM S-III

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY MISCELLANEOUS ORGANIC ANALYSES

Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix	Analytical Protocol	Extraction Method	Auxiliary Cleanup	Dil/Conc Factor
O3631-01	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-02	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-03	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-04	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-05	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-06	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-07	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-08	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-09	Solid	8260D	5035		
O3631-10	Water	8260-Low	5030		



## Cover Page

**Order ID :** O3631

**Project ID :** 613 Pine Avenue

**Client :** LaBella Associates P.C.

### Lab Sample Number

O3631-01  
O3631-02  
O3631-03  
O3631-04  
O3631-05  
O3631-06  
O3631-07  
O3631-08  
O3631-09  
O3631-10

### Client Sample Number

SB-02(2-4)  
SB-02(2-4)MS  
SB-02(2-4)MSD  
SB-04(2-4)  
SB-07(3-5)  
SB-09(3-5)  
SB-10(5-6)  
SB-11(3.5-4.5)  
DUP  
RINSATE-BLANK

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2/21/2024

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**LaBella Associates P.C.**

**Project Name: 613 Pine Avenue**

**Project # N/A**

**Chemtech Project # 03631**

**Test Name: VOCMS Group1**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

9 Solid samples were received on 07/14/2023.

1 Water sample was received on 07/14/2023.

### **B. Parameters**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group1.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_N were done using GC column RXI-624SIL MS 30m 0.25mm 1.4 um. Cat#13868. The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624Sil MS, which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm id, 1.4 um df, Restek Cat. #13868. The Trap was supplied by Supelco, VOCARB 3000, ATOMAX XYZ Concentrator. The analysis of VOCMS Group1 was based on method 8260D.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements except for SB-02(2-4)MS.

No internal standard failed in MSD therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {O3631-02MS} with File ID: VY014684.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane[63%], 1,2-Dibromoethane[57%], 2-Butanone[34%], 2-Hexanone[38%], 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone[46%], Acetone[32%] and Bromoform[63%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD {O3631-03MSD} with File ID: VY014685.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for Methyl Acetate[178%] due to matrix interference .

The RPD for {O3631-03MSD} with File ID: VY014685.D met criteria except for 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane[56%], 1,1,2-Trichloroethane[54%], 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene [31%], 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane[79%], 1,2-Dibromoethane[60%], 1,2-Dichloroethane [43%], 1,2-Dichloropropane[24%], 2-Butanone[98%], 2-Hexanone[89%], 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone[81%], Acetone[95%], Bromochloromethane



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922  
[41%], Bromodichloromethane[28%], Bromoform[57%], Chloroform[21%], cis-1,2-Dichloroethene[21%], cis-1,3-Dichloropropene[30%], Dibromochloromethane[46%], Methyl Acetate[84%], Methyl tert-butyl Ether[55%], Methylene Chloride[46%] and t-1,3-Dichloropropene[42%] . Due to difference in MS and MSD results.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples .

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 15% in the Initial Calibration method (82N071023S.M) for Bromomethane, Chloroethane, Methylene Chloride, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane, these compounds are passing on Linear Regression.

The % RSD is greater than 15% in the Initial Calibration method (82Y071323S.M) for Methylene Chloride, this compound is passing on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID VY014663.D met the requirements except for 2-Butanone, 2-Hexanone and Methyl Acetate . But associated samples have not positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

This data Package has been revised to report TICs as per client request.

This data package has been revised due to data package type changed as per client request.

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <15% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 15% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

#### **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
E	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

**APPENDIX A****QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: 03631

Completed

-----

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

**GENERAL:**

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓**COVER PAGE:**

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓**CHAIN OF CUSTODY:**

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓**ANALYTICAL:**

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

-----

1st Level QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI

Date: 02/21/2024

2nd Level QA Review Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_