# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	JACOBS Engin	eering	Group	o, Inc.		
Projec	t Location :	Princeton Junction, NJ	Project Number :	D3779922					
Labora	atory Sample ID	o(s): <u>P3609</u>	Sampling Date(s):	8/14/2024					
List Dł	KQP Methods L	Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) 60	20B,7196A,7470A,8260E	0,8270-Modified	,8270E	Ξ, 200	.7		
1	specified QA/C explain any cri	rtical method referenced in this labor QC performance criteria followed, inc teria falling outside of acceptable gu f Known Quality performance standa	luding the requirement to idelines, as specified in th		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the meth	od specified handling, preservation,	and holding time requiren	nents met?	V	Yes		No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted with frespective DKQ methods)	nout significant modification	ons (see		Yes		No	☑ N/
2		les received by the laboratory in a co he associated chain-of-custody docu		at	$\square$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temperat	ure (4±2° C)?		Ø	Yes		No	□ N/2
4	Were all QA/Q standards ach	C performance criteria specified in the nieved?	ne NJDEP DKQP			Yes	<b>V</b>	No	
5		ng limits specified or referenced on t to the laboratory prior to sample rec			V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these	reporting limits met?			V	Yes		No	□ N/
6	results report	rtical method referenced in this labored for all constituents identified in the DKQP documents and/or site-species.	e method-specific analyte		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	ecific matrix spikes and/or laboratory	duplicates included in this	s data set?		Yes	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Order ID: P3609

**Project ID:** Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

**Client:** JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

# Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number

P3609-01 915-J-WS-081424 P3609-02 920-J-WS-081424 P3609-03 TB-01-081424

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	 Date:	10/28/2024

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





## CASE NARRATIVE

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P3609 Test Name: VOCMS Group6

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2024.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group6 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group6.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_N were done using GC column RXI-624SIL MS 30m 0.25mm 1.4 um. Cat#13868.The analysis of VOCMS Group6 was based on method 8260D.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

This data package has been revised due to parameter list changed.

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <15% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 15% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.



# **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature		





## CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P3609 Test Name: SVOCMS Group3

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2024.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group6 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for SVOCMS Group3.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_N using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOCMS Group3 was based on method 8270-Modified and extraction was done based on method 3510.

### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for 915-J-WS-081424 [Terphenyl-d14 - 163%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria, and as per method two surrogates are allowed to failed, no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BN033465.D met the requirements except for 2,4,6-Tribromophenol, The failure compound not associated with the client parameters list, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.





### **E. Additional Comments:**

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <15% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 15% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signatur	e			





## CASE NARRATIVE

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P3609 Test Name: SVOCMS Group6

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2024.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group6 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for SVOCMS Group6.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_M using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOCMS Group6 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for 920-J-WS-081424 [2,4 and6-Tribromophenol - 117%, this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, Therefor no corrective action was required.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The % RSD is greater than 15% in the Initial Calibration (Method 8270-BM081024.M) for Benzaldehyde, this compound is passing on Quadratic regression

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.



#### **E. Additional Comments:**

This data package has been revised due to parameter list changed.

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 8 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <15% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 15% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	<u>;</u>			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P3609 Test Name: Metals Group5

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2024.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, Metals Group5, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group6 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for Metals Group5.

## C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis and digestion of Metals Group5 was based on method 200.7.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

This Data Package has been revised due to Metals Group5 test added as per Client Request.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## CASE NARRATIVE

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A

**Chemtech Project # P3609** 

**Test Name: Metals Group4, Mercury** 

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2024.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group6 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for Metals Group4, Mercury.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals Group4 was based on method 6020B, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate (918-J-WS-081324-FDDUP) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Arsenic due to unknow sample matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike (918-J-WS-081324-FDMS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process. The Matrix Spike Duplicate (918-J-WS-081324-FDMSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution (918-J-WS-081324-FDL) met criteria for all samples except for Aluminum, Iron, and Manganese due to sample matrix interference.

#### E. Additional Comments:

This Data Package has been revised due to Parameter List Change.

Collision cell is being used to remove potential interferences. The analytes Na, Mg, Al, K, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As are being analyzed with collision cell and analytes Be, B, Ca, Ti, Se, Sr, Zr, Mo, Ag, Cd, Sn, Sb, Ba, Tl, Pb, U are being analyzed with Non-Collision Cell. Helium gas is used for the Collision Cell analysis.



Signature_				



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A

**Chemtech Project # P3609** 

**Test Name: Hexavalent Chromium** 

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2024.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group6 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for Hexavalent Chromium.

## C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Hexavalent Chromium was based on method 7196A.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

Signature
-----------



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

## **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: P3609

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

<b>QA Review Signature:</b>	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	10/28/202
-----------------------------	---------------	-------	-----------