



# CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger Site Princeton NJ

Project # N/A Order ID # P3671

**Test Name: SVOCMS Group3** 

# A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

14 Solid samples were received on 08/19/2024. 1 Water sample was received on 08/19/2024.

### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, SVOCMS Group3, SVOCMS Group5, TOC, VOCMS Group 4, VOCMS Group3, VOCMS Group5 and VOCMS Group6. This data package contains results for SVOCMS Group3.

### C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_N using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOCMS Group3 was based on method 8270-Modified and extraction was done based on method 3541.

# D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for

S-905-J-SO-0-0.5-081924 [Fluoranthene-d10 - 28%, Terphenyl-d14 - 22%],

S-905-J-SO-0-0.5-081924DL [Fluoranthene-d10 - 26%, Terphenyl-d14 - 23%],

S-905-J-SO-0-0.5-081924-FD [Terphenyl-d14 - 24%].

S-905-J-SO-0-0.5-081924-FDDL [Terphenyl-d14 - 28%],

S-912-J-SO-0-0.5-081924 [Terphenyl-d14 - 24%], these compounds did not meet the

NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while

S-912-J-SO-0.5-1-081924 [Fluoranthene-d10 - 24%, this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria but [Terphenyl-d14 - 20%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria and as per method one surrogate is allowed to failed therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.





The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Samples S-905-J-SO-0-0.5-081924, S-905-J-SO-0-0.5-081924-FD and S-903-J-SO-0.5-1.0-081924 were diluted due to high concentrations.

### E. Additional Comments:

This Data Package has been revised due to Client ID Change for some sample as per Client Request.

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Samples S-904-J-SO-0-0.5-081924, S-904-J-SO-0.5-1.0-081924, S-905-J-SO-0.5-1.0-081924, S-903-J-SO-0.5-081924, were not analyzed in SIM due to high concentration of the target compound in Total scan analysis.

For sample # S-912-J-SO-0.5-1-081924 some compounds below Method detection limits, therefore it is not reported as Hit in Form-1.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

### F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.