DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	ENTACT					
Projec	t Location :	Brooklyn, NY	Project Number :	E9309					
Labora	atory Sample ID	(s): <u>P4843</u>	Sampling Date(s):	11/13/2024					
List DI	KQP Methods U	sed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	1010B,300.0,6010D,7196A SM2540 B,SM2540 D,SM4					040C	,Cal,
1	specified QA/C explain any crit	rtical method referenced in this la OC performance criteria followed, teria falling outside of acceptable f Known Quality performance sta	including the requirement to guidelines, as specified in th			Yes		No	
1A	Were the meth	od specified handling, preservati	on, and holding time requiren	nents met?		Yes	\checkmark	No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted frespective DKQ methods)	without significant modification	ons (see		Yes		No	✓ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved?				V	Yes		No	
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody or communicated to the laboratory prior to sample receipt?					Yes		No	
	b)Were these reporting limits met?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specific QAPP?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	ecific matrix spikes and/or labora	tory duplicates included in thi	s data set?		Yes	\checkmark	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

Order ID: P4843

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Project ID:	540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309)	
Client :	ENTACT		
Lab Sampl	e Number	Client Sample Numb	er
P4843-01		SW-WTS-01	
for completeness, for other t	ge is in compliance with the terms and cond han the conditions detailed above. Release orized by the laboratory manager or his de	of the data contained in t	his hard copy
Signature :		Date:	11/25/2024

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P4843 Test Name: VOCMS Group4

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 11/13/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, Anions Group4, BOD5, Flash Point, Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, Oil and Grease, PCB, pH, SVOCMS Group4, TKN, Total Nitrogen, TS, TSS and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group4.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column RXI-624SIL MS 30m 0.25mm 1.4 um. Cat#13868.The analysis of VOCMS Group4 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.



F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature			
Digilalaro			





CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P4843 Test Name: SVOCMS Group4

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 11/13/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, Anions Group4, BOD5, Flash Point, Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, Oil and Grease, PCB, pH, SVOCMS Group4, TKN, Total Nitrogen, TS, TSS and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for SVOCMS Group4.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um dfThe analysis of SVOCMS Group4 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.





F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature					
Signature					



CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P4843

Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 11/13/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, Anions Group4, BOD5, Flash Point, Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, Oil and Grease, PCB, pH, SVOCMS Group4, TKN, Total Nitrogen, TS, TSS and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed





above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P4843

Test Name: Metals Group4, Mercury

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 11/13/2024.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, Anions Group4, BOD5, Flash Point, Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, Oil and Grease, PCB, pH, SVOCMS Group4, TKN, Total Nitrogen, TS, TSS and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for Metals Group4, Mercury.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals Group4 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Signature		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P4843

Test Name: Hexavalent Chromium, Total Nitrogen, TS, pH, Flash

Point, TKN, BOD5, TSS, Anions Group4

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 11/13/2024.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, Anions Group4, BOD5, Flash Point, Hexavalent Chromium, Mercury, Metals Group4, Oil and Grease, PCB, pH, SVOCMS Group4, TKN, Total Nitrogen, TS, TSS and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for Hexavalent Chromium, Total Nitrogen, TS, pH, Flash Point, TKN, BOD5, TSS, Anions Group4.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Flash Point was based on method 1010B, The analysis of Anions Group4 was based on method 300.0, The analysis of Hexavalent Chromium was based on method 7196A, The analysis of pH was based on method 9040C, The analysis of Total Nitrogen was based on method Cal, The analysis of TS was based on method SM2540 B, The analysis of TSS was based on method SM2540 D, The analysis of TKN was based on method SM4500 N Org B or C and The analysis of BOD5 was based on method SM5210 B.

D. OA/ OC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for SW-WTS-01 of pH as sample was receive out of holding time.

Sample SW-WTS-01 was diluted due to high concentrations for TKN and Chloride.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:



Signature_				



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: P4843

	Completed
East the record provider. The report must have the following.	
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	\frac{}{}
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date: 11/25/202
-----------------------------	---------------	-----------------