# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :		EarthEfficient Ll	_C				
Projec	ct Location :	Long Island City, NY	Project Number : -45-40 Vernon Blvd LIC							
Laboratory Sample ID(s): P5217 Sampling Date(s): 12/09/2024										
List DI	KQP Methods U	sed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	6010D,8082A							
1	specified QA/Q explain any crit	tical method referenced in this la C performance criteria followed, eria falling outside of acceptable Known Quality performance sta	including the req guidelines, as sp	uirement to		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes		No	
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?						Yes		No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)						Yes		No	✓ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?				V	Yes		No		
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate tempe	erature (4±2° C)?			<b>I</b>	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved?				V	Yes		No		
5		ng limits specified or referenced on the laboratory prior to sample		ıstody or		<b>V</b>	Yes		No	
	b)Were these r	eporting limits met?				$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	tical method referenced in this la ed for all constituents identified in e DKQP documents and/or site-s	the method-spec			V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	cific matrix spikes and/or labora	ory duplicates in	cluded in thi	s data set?		Yes	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

Order ID: P5217

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Project ID :	45-40 Vernon Blvd LIC			
Client :	EarthEfficient LLC			
Lab Sampl	e Number	Client Sample Nu	mber	
P5217-01		MIXED-DEMO		
I continue the date were to		dikiono of the section of	hoth tooksiaally and	
for completeness, for other t	ge is in compliance with the terms and con han the conditions detailed above. Release prized by the laboratory manager or his de	of the data contained	in this hard copy	
Signature :		Da	te: 12/16/2024	

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



# CASE NARRATIVE

**EarthEfficient LLC** 

Project Name: 45-40 Vernon Blvd LIC

Project # N/A

**Chemtech Project # P5217** 

**Test Name: PCB** 

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 12/09/2024.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Metals Group3 and PCB. This data package contains results for PCB.

### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD\_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25  $\mu$ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

# D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

# **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed





above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

# CASE NARRATIVE

**EarthEfficient LLC** 

Project Name: 45-40 Vernon Blvd LIC

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P5217 Test Name: Metals Group3

### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 12/09/2024.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Metals Group3 and PCB. This data package contains results for Metals Group3.

# C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals Group3 was based on method 6010D and digestion based on method 3050 (soils).

# D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	
-----------	--



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).				
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.				
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected				
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference				
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.				
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.				
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).				
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.				
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.				
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.				
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.				
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements				
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time				



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

# **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

**Project #: P5217** 

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	✓
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u>✓</u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u>✓</u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>✓</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	✓
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	12/16/2024