

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID: P5316

Project ID: CTO WE13

Client: Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number

P5316-01 TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-1
P5316-02 TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-2
P5316-03 TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-3
P5316-04 TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-4

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
oignature .	Date:	12/31/2024

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: CTO WE13 Project Manager: Ernie Wu Chemtech Project # P5316 Test Name: VOCMS Group4

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 12/17/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals Group1, Metals ICP-Group1, PCB Group1, PESTICIDE Group1, SVOCMS Group2 and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group4.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOCMS Group4 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for

TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-4 [1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 - 70%, 4-Bromofluorobenzene - 43%] and

TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-4RE [4-Bromofluorobenzene - 71%], sample was reanalyzed to confirm the failure and reported.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements except for TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-4, sample was reanalyzed to confirm the failure and reported.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82Y121724S.M) for Acetone, Chloroform this compound is passing on Linear Regression.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82Y122624S.M) for Toluene-d8 this compound is passing on Linear Regression.



The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is)."

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature		





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: CTO WE13 Project Manager: Ernie Wu Chemtech Project # P5316 Test Name: SVOCMS Group2

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 12/17/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals Group1, Metals ICP-Group1, PCB Group1, PESTICIDE Group1, SVOCMS Group2 and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for SVOCMS Group2.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df The analysis of SVOCMS Group2 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for TT-304-IDWSO-20241217-1 [Terphenyl-d14 - 48%], as per method two surrogates are allowed to failed, no corrective action was taken..

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BF140942.D met the requirements except for Benzo(g,h,i)perylene,Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene and Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene. The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.



The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <15% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 15% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_			
Signature_			





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: CTO WE13
Project Manager: Ernie Wu
Chemtech Project # P5316

Test Name: PESTICIDE Group1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 12/17/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals Group1, Metals ICP-Group1, PCB Group1, PESTICIDE Group1, SVOCMS Group2 and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for PESTICIDE Group1.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_L. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of PESTICIDE Group1s was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.





F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: CTO WE13 Project Manager: Ernie Wu Chemtech Project # P5316 Test Name: PCB Group1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 12/17/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals Group1, Metals ICP-Group1, PCB Group1, PESTICIDE Group1, SVOCMS Group2 and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for PCB Group1.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCB Group1s was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for OU4-VSL-07-121224MS [Decachlorobiphenyl(2) - 137%] as per method one surrogate is allowed to failed, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous. The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature		
Dignature		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: CTO WE13 Project Manager: Ernie Wu Chemtech Project # P5316

Test Name: Metals ICP-Group1, Mercury

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 12/17/2024.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals Group1, Metals ICP-Group1, PCB Group1, PESTICIDE Group1, SVOCMS Group2 and VOCMS Group4. This data package contains results for Metals ICP-Group1, Mercury.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals ICP-Group1 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike (RBR251688MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Arsenic, Beryllium, Chromium, Selenium, and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (RBR251688MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Arsenic, Beryllium, Chromium, Copper, Selenium and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).



Signature_				



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: P5316

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>✓</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	√
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	✓
Was client requirement followed?	_
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	_
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	_
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	'
	

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date:	12/31/2024
--	------------