

 $284 \; Sheffield \; Street, \; Mountainside, \; New \; Jersey \; 07092, \; Phone \; : \; 908 \; 789 \; 8900, \\$

Fax: 908 789 8922

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION FORM S-I

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

NYSDEC Sample	Laboratory Sample	VOA GC/MS	BNA GC/MS	VOA GC	Pest PCBs	Metals	Other
ID/Code	ID/Code	(Method #)	(Method #)	(Method #)	(Method #)	(Method #)	(Method #)
SB-01-20241219-7.0-7. 5	P5361-01				8015D, 8082A		Chemtech -SOP
SB-01-20241219-9.0-9. 5	P5361-02				8015D, 8082A		Chemtech -SOP

 $284 \; Sheffield \; Street, \; Mountainside, \; New \; Jersey \; 07092, \; Phone: \; 908 \; 789 \; 8900, \\$

Fax: 908 789 8922

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION FORM S-IIc

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY PESTICIDE/PCB ANALYSES

Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Rec'd at Lab	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
P5361-01	SOIL	12/20/24	12/19/24	12/20/24	12/20/24
P5361-02	SOIL	12/20/24	12/19/24	12/20/24	12/20/24

* Details For Test: PCB

 $284 \; Sheffield \; Street, \; Mountainside, \; New \; Jersey \; 07092, \; Phone \; : \; 908 \; 789 \; 8900, \\$

Fax: 908 789 8922

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION FORM S-IIc

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY PESTICIDE/PCB ANALYSES

Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Rec'd at Lab	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
P5361-01	SOIL	12/20/24	12/19/24	12/23/24	12/23/24
P5361-02	SOIL	12/20/24	12/19/24	12/23/24	12/23/24

^{*} Details For Test: TPH GC

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

FORM S-III

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY MISCELLANEOUS ORGANIC ANALYSES

Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix	Analytical Protocol	Extraction Method	Auxiliary Cleanup	Dil/Conc Factor
P5361-01	Solid	8015D	3550		
P5361-01	Solid	8082A	3541		
P5361-02	Solid	8015D	3550		
P5361-02	Solid	8082A	3541		

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID	:	P5361
----------	---	-------

Project ID: Con Edison Non-MGP - East River 453648.60024.03

Client: PARSONS Engineering of New York, Inc.

Lab Sample NumberClient Sample NumberP5361-01SB-01-20241219-7.0-7.5P5361-02SB-01-20241219-9.0-9.5

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	 ate:	3/11/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



CASE NARRATIVE

PARSONS Main of New York, Inc.

Project Name: Con Edison Non-MGP - East River 453648.60024.03

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P5361

Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 12/19/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB and TPH GC. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed





above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			





CASE NARRATIVE

PARSONS Main of New York, Inc.

Project Name: Con Edison Non-MGP - East River 453648.60024.03

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # P5361

Test Name: TPH GC

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 12/19/2024.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB and TPH GC. This data package contains results for TPH GC.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis were performed on instrument FID_G. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of TPH GC was based on method 8015D and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {P5361-02MS} with File ID: FG015020.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for Petroleum Hydrocarbons[18%] Due to matrix interference.

The MSD {P5361-02MSD} with File ID: FG015026.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for Petroleum Hydrocarbons[19%] Due to matrix interference.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.





I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature		
Signature		



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: P5361

	Completed
East the record provider. The report must have the following.	
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	\frac{}{}
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	03/11/2025