

Cover Page

Order ID : Q1066

Project ID : PVSC Monthly-2025

Client : Ardmore Chemical

Lab Sample Number

Q1066-01
Q1066-02

Client Sample Number

EFF-WASTE WATER
EFF-WASTE WATER

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : _____

Date: 1/28/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Ardmore Chemical

Project Name: PVSC Monthly 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1066

Test Name: VOC-PP

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 01/10/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: BOD5, Cyanide, Mercury, Metals Group2, Metals ICP-Group, SVOCMS Group1, TSS and VOC-PP. This data package contains results for VOC-PP.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868. The analysis of VOC-PP was based on method 624.1.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD for {VN0110WBSD01} with File ID: VN085420.D met criteria except for 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether[26%] due to difference in results of BS-BSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples .

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements .

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Samples EFF-WASTE WATER was analyzed with straight 5X dilution due to foamy nature of the sample.

E. Additional Comments:

As per method, MS/MSD is required to be performed with the sample analysis. However, Lab did not receive sufficient volume to perform the MS/MSD therefore MS/MSD were not performed for this project. However, Lab has performed LCS/LCSD instead.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <35% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 35% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Ardmore Chemical

Project Name: PVSC Monthly 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1066

Test Name: SVOCMS Group1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 01/10/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: BOD5, Cyanide, Mercury, Metals Group2, Metals ICP-Group, SVOCMS Group1, TSS and VOC-PP. This data package contains results for SVOCMS Group1.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df The analysis of SVOCMS Group1 was based on method 625.1 and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for EFF-WASTE WATER [2-Fluorophenol - 52%, Phenol-d6 - 33%], marginally low therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria .

The Blank Spike for {PB166033BS} with File ID: BF141182.D met requirements for all samples except for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[200%], N-Nitrosodiphenylamine[104%], The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken. .

The Blank Spike Duplicate for {PB166033BSD} with File ID: BF141183.D met requirements for all samples except for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[200%], N-Nitrosodiphenylamine[105%], The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements .

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

As per method MS/MSD is required to be performed with the sample analysis. However, Lab did not receive sufficient volume to perform the MS/MSD therefore MS/MSD were not performed for this project. However, Lab has performed LCS/LCSD instead.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Ardmore Chemical

Project Name: PVSC Monthly-2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1066

Test Name: Metals ICP-Group,Mercury

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 01/10/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: BOD5, Cyanide, Mercury, Metals Group2, Metals ICP-Group, SVOCMS Group1, TSS and VOC-PP. This data package contains results for Metals ICP-Group,Mercury.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis and digestion of Metals ICP-Group was based on 200.7 and The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on 245.1.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Ardmore Chemical

Project Name: PVSC Monthly 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1066

Test Name: Cyanide,BOD5,TSS

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 01/10/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: BOD5, Cyanide, Mercury, Metals Group2, Metals ICP-Group, SVOCMS Group1, TSS and VOC-PP. This data package contains results for Cyanide,BOD5,TSS.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TSS was based on method SM2540 D, The analysis of Cyanide was based on method SM4500-CN C,E and The analysis of BOD5 was based on method SM5210 B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate (EFF-WASTE WATERDUP) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Cyanide but sample and duplicate results are less than reporting limit.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “ Results Qualifiers” are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers “P” for ICP instrument “PM” for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used “CV” for Manual Cold Vapor AA “AV” for automated Cold Vapor AA “CA” for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric “AS” for Semi -Automated Spectrophotometric “C” for Manual Spectrophotometric “T” for Titrimetric “NR” for analyte not required to be analyzed
OR	Indicates the analyte’s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
H	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “Results Qualifiers” are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. “10 U”. This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as “12 B”.
E	Indicates the analyte ‘s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a “P”.
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q1066

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

GENERAL:

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓

COVER PAGE:

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓

CHAIN OF CUSTODY:

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓

ANALYTICAL:

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI

Date: 01/28/2025