DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	CHEMTECH	Client :		G Environmental					
Projec	t Location :	Orange,NJ	Project Numb	oer:						
Labora	atory Sample ID(s): Q1332	Sampling Da	te(s):	2/06/2025					
List Dh	KQP Methods Us	sed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8260-Low,SOP							
1	specified QA/Q explain any crit	tical method referenced in this labo C performance criteria followed, in eria falling outside of acceptable g Known Quality performance stand	cluding the requirement uidelines, as specified in	to		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the method	od specified handling, preservation	n, and holding time requi	rement	s met?	V	Yes		No	
1B		Vas the EPH method conducted w .3 of respective DKQ methods)	thout significant modifica	ations			Yes		No	☑ N/A
2		es received by the laboratory in a de associated chain-of-custody doc		that		V	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temper	rature (4±2° C)?			V	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Q0 standards achi	C performance criteria specified in eved?	the NJDEP DKQP				Yes	\checkmark	No	
5		ng limits specified or referenced or to the laboratory prior to sample re	<u>-</u>			V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these re	eporting limits met?				V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	tical method referenced in this labor d for all constituents identified in the e DKQP documents and/or site-sp	e method-specific analy			V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	cific matrix spikes and/or laborato	y duplicates included in	this da	ta set?		Yes	V	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



Order ID: 01332

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

	-				
Project ID :	Washington				
Client :	G Environmental				
Lab Sampl	e Number	Client Sample Numbe	Number		
Q1332-01		MW1			
for completeness, for other t	ge is in compliance with the terms and the conditions detailed above. Recorred by the laboratory manager or	elease of the data contained in th	nis hard copy		
Signature :		Date:	2/14/2025		
NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO	- 11376	NJDEP	CERTIFICATION NO - 20012		





CASE NARRATIVE

G Environmental

Project Name: Washington

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1332 Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 02/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-TCL BNA -20 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD for {VN0210WBSD01} with File ID: VN085733.D met criteria except for 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene[22%], Bromochloromethane[32%] and Isopropylbenzene[21%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria, due to difference in results of BS-BSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82X021025W.M) for Chloroethane this compound is passing on Quadratic Regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID VN085718.D met the requirements except for m/p-Xylenes, o-Xylene and Styrene associated sample required dilution due to high concentration, therefore sample was reanalyzed with dilution and reported. The Tuning criteria met requirements.



Sample MW1 was diluted due to high concentration.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature		
Digitatuic_	 	



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J B	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





Fax: 908 789 8922

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q1332

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	' ' '
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>'</u> <u>'</u> <u>'</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date: 02/14/2025