# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Laboratory Name : CHEMTECH Client : G Environmenta					al			
Projec	Project Location : Project Number : - Amsterdam							
Labora	atory Sample ID	O(s): Q1355	Sampling Date(s):	2/11/2025				
List DI	KQP Methods L	Jsed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	,6010D,7470A,8260-Low,9	056A,SOP				
1	specified QA/C explain any cri	vical method referenced in this QC performance criteria followed teria falling outside of acceptable Known Quality performance st	d, including the requirement to e guidelines, as specified in the		<b>V</b>	Yes	No	
1A	Were the meth	nod specified handling, preserva	tion, and holding time requirer	nents met?	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes	No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted frespective DKQ methods)	d without significant modification	ons (see		Yes	No	✓ N/A
2		les received by the laboratory ir he associated chain-of-custody		at	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes	No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temp	perature (4±2° C)?		<b>V</b>	Yes	No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Q standards ach	C performance criteria specified hieved?	in the NJDEP DKQP		<b>V</b>	Yes	No	
5		ng limits specified or referenced to the laboratory prior to sampl			$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes	No	
	b)Were these	reporting limits met?			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes	No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	ytical method referenced in this ed for all constituents identified ne DKQP documents and/or site	in the method-specific analyte		<b>V</b>	Yes	No	
7	Are project-spe	ecific matrix spikes and/or labor	atory duplicates included in thi	s data set?	V	Yes	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



**Order ID:** Q1355

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Project ID:	Amsterdam		
Client :	G Environmental		
Lab Sampl	e Number	Client Sample Numb	er
Q1355-01 Q1355-02		RW1 MW2	
for completeness, for other t	ge is in compliance with the terms and co han the conditions detailed above. Releas orized by the laboratory manager or his c	se of the data contained in t	his hard copy
Signature :		Date:	2/25/2025
NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO	- 11376	NJDEF	CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





# CASE NARRATIVE

**G** Environmental

**Project Name: Amsterdam** 

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1355 Test Name: VOCMS Group1

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 02/11/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Anions Group1, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, Sulfate and VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group1.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of VOCMS Group1 was based on method 8260D.

# D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82X021025W.M) for Chloroethane is passing on Quadratic Regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID VX044920.D met the requirements except for Chloroethane, The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds; therefore no corrective action was required.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.





Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

#### **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signat	ure		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

# **CASE NARRATIVE**

**G** Environmental

**Project Name: Amsterdam** 

Project # N/A

**Chemtech Project # Q1355** 

**Test Name: Metals ICP-TAL, Mercury** 

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 02/11/2025.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Anions Group1, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, Sulfate and VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for Metals ICP-TAL, Mercury.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate (TWP-1-WCDUP) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Aluminum.

The Matrix Spike (TWP-1-WCMS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Aluminum, Iron.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (TWP-1-WCMSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Iron.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution (TWP-1-WCL) met criteria for all samples except for Aluminum, Chromium.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Sig	nature					
-----	--------	--	--	--	--	--



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

# **CASE NARRATIVE**

**G** Environmental

**Project Name: Amsterdam** 

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1355 Test Name: Anions Group1

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 02/11/2025.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Anions Group1, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, Sulfate and VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for Anions Group1.

## C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Anions Group1 was based on method 9056A.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

Sample RW1 was diluted due to high concentrations for Sulfate.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

$Signature_{-}$			
Signature			



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

# **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q1355

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	02/25/2025
QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	02/25/20