284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Order ID: Q1380

Project ID: NWIRP Bethpage CTO WE13 - VPB-192 #112G08005

**Client:** Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

#### **Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number** BP-VPB-192-EB-20250212 Q1380-01 Q1380-02 BP-VPB-192-TB-20250210 Q1380-06 BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727 Q1380-07 BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MS Q1380-08 BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MSD Q1380-09 BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782 Q1380-10 BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MS Q1380-11 BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MSD Q1380-12 VPB192-HYD-20250214

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	Date:	3/4/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





## CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage CTO WE13 - VPB-192 #112G08005

Project Manager: Ernie Wu Chemtech Project # Q1380 Test Name: VOCMS Group1

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Solid samples were received on 02/17/2025.

6 Water samples were received on 02/17/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-SIMGroup1 and VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group1.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOCMS Group1 was based on method 8260D.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except for BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MS and BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MSD, for MS-MSD VIAL A Initially analyzed in sequence VY022025 with in Holding time but internal standard and surrogate failed as well as End CCAL was failing therefore as a corrective action lab analyzed MS-MSD again with VIAL B where Internal standards and surrogate failure confirmed but this analysis is out of Holding time, Therefore VIAL B reported as final and VIAL A reported as screening data in miscellaneous section.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MS [4-Bromofluorobenzene - 63%, Toluene-d8 - 73%] Surrogate fail in Only MS and but Parent Sample and MSD are Passing For Surrogate Recoveries therefore no Corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements except for BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MSD.Internal standard fail in only MSD but MS and Parent Sample are Passing For Internal standard Recoveries therefore no Corrective action was taken.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1380-07MS} with File ID: VY021342.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,2-Dichlorobenzene[72%], 1,3-Dichlorobenzene[67%], 1,4-Dichlorobenzene[69%], Chlorobenzene[76%], Ethyl Benzene[75%], m/p-Xylenes[74%], Methylcyclohexane[46%], o-Xylene[75%], Styrene[51%], Tetrachloroethene[72%] and Trichloroethene[76%],due to Matrix interference.



The MSD {Q1380-08MSD} with File ID: VY021343.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane[164%], Methyl tert-butyl Ether[127%] and Styrene[69%], due to Matrix interference.

The sample # BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MS and BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727MSD are failing for Methyl tert-butyl

Ether, Methylcyclohexane, Trichloroethene, Tetrachloroethene, Chlorobenzene, Ethyl Benzene, m/p-Xylenes, o-Xylene, Styrene, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,3-Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-Dichlorobenzene and 1,2-Dichlorobenzene the original sample (BP-VPB-192-GW-725-727) is reported with M flag for this compounds.

The RPD for {Q1380-08MSD} with File ID: VY021343.D met criteria except for 1,1,1-Trichloroethane[34%], 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane[38%], 1,1,2-Trichloroethane[40%], 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane[37%], 1,1-Dichloroethane[32%], 1,1-Dichloroethene[35%], 1,2-Dichloroethene[35%], 1,2-Dichloropenae[35%], 1,3-Dichlorobenzene[40%], 1,2-Dichlorobenzene[40%], 2-Butanone[41%], 2-Hexanone[45%], 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone[45%], Acetone[39%], Benzene[35%], Bromodichloromethane[34%], Bromoform[38%], Bromomethane[33%], Carbon disulfide[32%], Carbon Tetrachloride[35%], Chlorobenzene[34%], Chloroethane[31%], Chloroform[33%], Chloromethane[36%], cis-1,2-Dichloroethene[33%], cis-1,3-Dichloropropene[35%], Dibromochloromethane[38%], Ethyl Benzene[35%], Isopropylbenzene[37%], m/p-Xylenes[37%], Methyl tert-butyl Ether[39%], Methylcyclohexane[43%], Methylene Chloride[33%], o-Xylene[35%], Styrene[30%], t-1,3-Dichloropropene[36%], Tetrachloroethene[34%], Toluene[35%], trans-1,2-Dichloroethene[34%], Trichloroethene[35%], Trichlorofluoromethane[35%] and Vinyl chloride[37%], due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The Blank Spike for {VY0219SBS01} with File ID: VY021238.D met requirements for all samples except for Chloroethane[146%], Chloromethane[150%] and Vinyl chloride[145%], are failing high but no positive hit in associate samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples. The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82N021825W.M) for Styrene this compound is passing on Quadratic Regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is)."





The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Signature			





## **CASE NARRATIVE**

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage CTO WE13 - VPB-192 #112G08005

Project Manager: Ernie Wu Chemtech Project # Q1380 Test Name: SVOC-SIMGroup1

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Solid samples were received on 02/17/2025.

6 Water samples were received on 02/17/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-SIMGroup1 and VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for SVOC-SIMGroup1.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_N using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOC-SIMGroup1 was based on method 8270-Modified and extraction was done based on method 3510.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for PB166758BS [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 118%], BP-VPB-192-EB-20250212 [Terphenyl-d14 - 146%], BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782 [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 118%], BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MS [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 110%, Terphenyl-d14 - 135%], BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MSD [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 113%, Terphenyl-d14 - 138%], failure surrogates are not associated with the client list, as per criteria affected surrogates were passing, therefore no corrective action was taken while,

VPB192-HYD-20250214 [2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 - 6%] surrogate failing biased low also Due to the limited volume of this sample, it will not be re-extracted therefore no corrective action taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1380-10MS} with File ID: BN036477.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,4-Dioxane[64%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.





The sample # BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MS is failing for 1,4-Dioxane and the original sample(BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782) is reported with M flag for this compound.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).

Less volume was taken for samples #BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782, BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MS,BP-VPB-192-GW-780-782MSD at the time of extraction due to Limited volume received.

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

#### F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Signature			







# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

## **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q1380

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<del>'</del> <del>'</del> <del>'</del>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>'</u> <u>'</u> <u>'</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date:	03/04/2025
--	------------