DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name : <u>CHEMTECH</u>	Client :	JACOBS Enginee	ering Group, In	C	
Projec	t Location :	Project Number :	148042596 - Form	ormer Schlumberger STC PTC		
Labora	atory Sample ID(s) : Q1478	Sampling Date(s) :	2/28/2025			
List DI	KQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, 6	t Cetra) ,1010B,1030,1311,1311 ZHE,6010D,7470A,8015D,80)82A,8260-Low,82	260D,8270E,90	040C,9045D,SOP	
1	specified QA/QC performance criteria	cceptable guidelines, as specified in the	'	☑ Yes □	No	
1A	Were the method specified handling,	reservation, and holding time requiren	nents met?	✓ Yes □	No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method of Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods	nducted without significant modifications)	ns (see	☐ Yes ☐	No 🗹 N/A	
2	Were all samples received by the labor described on the associated chain-of-	ratory in a condition consistent with the custody document(s)?	at [✓ Yes □	No	
3	Were samples received at an appropr	ate temperature (4±2° C)?]	✓ Yes □	No 🗖 N/A	
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria standards achieved?	specified in the NJDEP DKQP	I	✓ Yes □	No	
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or re communicated to the laboratory prior]	✓ Yes □	No	
	b)Were these reporting limits met?]	✓ Yes □	No 🗖 N/A	
6		I in this laboratory report package, wer entified in the method-specific analyte d/or site-specific QAPP?		☐ Yes ☐	No	
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and	or laboratory duplicates included in this	s data set?	☑ Yes □	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



Cover Page

Order ID: Q1478

Project ID: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Client: JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number Q1478-01 IDW-AQ-MW-19B-COMP-022825 Q1478-02 IDW-AQ-DRUM-610-022825 Q1478-03 IDW-AQ-IW-01-COMP-022825 Q1478-04 IDW-AQ-DRUM-616-022825 Q1478-05 IDW-AQ-IW-02-COMP-022825 Q1478-06 IDW-AQ-DRUM-614-022825 Q1478-07 IDW-AQ-IW-03-COMP-022825 Q1478-08 IDW-AQ-DRUM-612-022825 Q1478-13 IDW-SO-COMP-022825 Q1478-14 IDW-SO-COMP-022825 Q1478-15 IDW-SO-DRUM-582-022825 Q1478-16 IDW-SO-DRUM-582-022825

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :			
oignature .	—————— Dat.	۵.	3/14/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478 Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements .

The Continuous Calibration File ID VX045100.D met the requirements except for Chloroethane, Methylcyclohexane and t-1,3-Dichloropropene are failing high but no positive hit in associate samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.



Samples IDW-AQ-DRUM-612-022825 was diluted due to past history of this sample containing high amounts of Trichloroethene.

Samples IDW-AQ-DRUM-610-022825, IDW-AQ-DRUM-616-022825 and IDW-AQ-DRUM-614-022825 were diluted due to high concentrations.

The Sample IDW-AQ-DRUM-610-022825, IDW-AQ-DRUM-616-022825, IDW-AQ-DRUM-614-022825 and IDW-AQ-DRUM-612-022825 have the concentration of target compound below Method detection limits, therefore it is not reported as Hit in Form1.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

$Signature_{_}$			
Signature			





JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478 Test Name: TCLP VOA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for

BR-05-465-030525MS [Dibromofluoromethane - 0%],

BR-05-465-030525MSD [Dibromofluoromethane - 0%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria, MS and MSD surrogate failure confirm with parent sample.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1501-05MS} with File ID: VX045163.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,1-Dichloroethene[140%] this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while Tetrachloroethene[178%] and Trichloroethene[190%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q1501-06MSD} with File ID: VX045164.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for 1,1-Dichloroethene[146%], this compounds did not meet the





NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while Tetrachloroethene[171%] and Trichloroethene[183%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria due to matrix interference.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples therefore lab used from another project.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

$Signature_{_}$			
Signature			





JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for PB166982BL [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 115%], PB166982BS [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 118%], PB166982BSD [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 116%], IDW-AQ-IW-01-COMP-022825 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 124%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, IDW-AQ-MW-19B-COMP-022825 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 138%, Nitrobenzene-d5 - 139%], IDW-AQ-IW-02-COMP-022825 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 148%, Nitrobenzene-d5 - 141%], IDW-AQ-IW-03-COMP-022825 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 147% and Nitrobenzene-d5 - 144%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria but as per method two surrogates are allowed to failed, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements. The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples. The RPD met criteria.



The Blank Spike for {PB166982BS} with File ID: BF141862.D met requirements for all samples except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[57%], 3-Nitroaniline[67%] and 4-Chloroaniline[39%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the inhouse criteria.

The Blank Spike Duplicate for {PB166982BSD} with File ID: BF141863.D met requirements for all samples except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[59%], 3-Nitroaniline[69%] and 4-Chloroaniline[40%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The % RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration (8270-BF022725.M) for 2-Nitrophenol, 2-Nitroaniline, 2,6-Dinitrotoluene, 3-Nitroaniline, 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol, these compounds are passing on Linear Regression and 2,4-Dinitrophenol, is passing on Quadratic regression

The Continuous Calibration File ID BF141858.D met the requirements except for 2,4-Dinitrophenol,2,4-Dinitrotoluene,2-Nitrophenol,4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol,4-Nitroaniline,4-Nitrophenol,Benzo(g,h,i)perylene and Nitrobenzene-d5, The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_		
Digilatale		





JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478 Test Name: TCLP BNA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP BNA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df The analysis of TCLP BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for PB166968TB [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 124%], PB167016BL [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 121%], PB167016BS [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 111%], IDW-SO-COMP-022825 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 132%], IDW-SO-COMP-022825MSD [2,4 and6-Tribromophenol - 136%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while IDW-SO-COMP-022825MS [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 138%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria but as per method two surrogates are allowed to failed, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD {Q1478-13MSD} with File ID: BF141888.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for 1,4-Dichlorobenzene[68%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.



The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The % RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration (8270-BF022725.M) for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, this compound is passing on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BF141882.D met the requirements except for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, Pentachlorophenol, 2,4,6-Tribromophenol and Nitrobenzene-d5, The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

$Signature_{_}$		
_		





JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .



E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	
Digitatare	





JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: Diesel Range Organics

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Diesel Range Organics.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis were performed on instrument FID_G. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of Diesel Range Organics was based on method 8015D and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.





Signature		



JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: Gasoline Range Organics

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Gasoline Range Organics.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument FID_B were done using GC column RTX502.2 which is 60 meters, 0.53mm ID, 3.0 um df, cat#10909.The analysis of Gasoline Range Organics was based on method 8015D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for

IDW-AQ-DRUM-616-022825 [Alpha, Alpha, Alpha-Trifluorotoluene - 272%],

IDW-AQ-DRUM-616-022825RE [Alpha, Alpha, Alpha-Trifluorotoluene - 213%],

IDW-AQ-DRUM-614-022825 [Alpha, Alpha, Alpha-Trifluorotoluene - 386%],

IDW-AQ-DRUM-614-022825RE [Alpha, Alpha, Alpha-Trifluorotoluene - 773%],

IDW-AQ-DRUM-612-022825 [Alpha, Alpha, Alpha-Trifluorotoluene - 803%],

IDW-AQ-DRUM-612-022825RE [Alpha,Alpha andAlpha-Trifluorotoluene - 401%] All the failure samples in surrogates were reanalyzed to confirm the results as per method and reported in the data also For sample # IDW-AQ-DRUM-610-022825

[Alpha,Alpha,Alpha-Trifluorotoluene - 2385%] Vial A analyzed but having surrogate fail and need dilution as a corrective action Vial B analyzed but surrogate fail, therefore vial B reported in hard copy and VIAL A data given in miscellaneous section.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

Samples IDW-AQ-DRUM-610-022825 was diluted due to bad matrix, The above sample original run is reported as screening data in miscellaneous data also For sample # IDW-SO-DRUM-582-022825 both soil vial did not purge therefore analyzed directly in methanol.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

$Signature_{_}$		
Signature		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: Metals ICP-RCRA, Mercury

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Metals ICP-RCRA, Mercury.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals ICP-RCRA was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike (IDW-AQ-IW-03-COMP-022825MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Silver.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (IDW-AQ-IW-03-COMP-022825MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Barium, Silver.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:



Signature_			
-			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: TCLP Mercury, TCLP ICP Metals

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP Mercury, TCLP ICP Metals.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (TP-4MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Barium due to matrix interference.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed



above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has	
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.	

Signature	
	_



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1478

Test Name: Corrosivity,pH,Flash Point,Ignitability

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 02/28/2025.

8 Water samples were received on 02/28/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Diesel Range Organics, Flash Point, Gasoline Range Organics, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-RCRA, METALS RCRA, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALS, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Corrosivity,pH,Flash Point,Ignitability.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Flash Point was based on method 1010B, The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of pH was based on method 9040C and The analysis of Corrosivity was based on method 9045D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for IDW-AQ-IW-01-COMP-022825 of pH, for IDW-AQ-IW-02-COMP-022825 of pH.for IDW-AQ-IW-03-COMP-022825 of pH.for IDW-AQ-MW-19B-COMP-022825 of pH.for IDW-SO-COMP-022825 of Corrosivity. As these samples are received out of hold.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed



above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q1478

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u>✓</u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>✓</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	✓
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	✓
Was client requirement followed?	_
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	_
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	'
	

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	03/14/2025
------------------------------------	-------	------------