## DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Lohor	oton Nomo	CHEMTECH	Client :	G Environmenta	~1				
Project Location : Project Number : Nelson									
Laboratory Sample ID(s) :         Q1492         Sampling Date(s) :         3/05/2025									
List DI	KQP Methods L	Jsed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8270E,SOP						
1	specified QA/C explain any cri	ytical method referenced in this la QC performance criteria followed, iteria falling outside of acceptable of Known Quality performance sta	including the requirement to guidelines, as specified in the		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the meth	nod specified handling, preservation	on, and holding time requirer	ments met?	V	Yes		No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted f respective DKQ methods)	without significant modification	ons (see	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
2		les received by the laboratory in a he associated chain-of-custody d		at	V	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate tempe	erature (4±2° C)?		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Q standards ac	C performance criteria specified i hieved?	n the NJDEP DKQP			Yes	V	No	
5		ing limits specified or referenced o d to the laboratory prior to sample			$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Yes		No	
	b)Were these	reporting limits met?			Ø	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results report	ytical method referenced in this la ed for all constituents identified in he DKQP documents and/or site-s	the method-specific analyte		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-sp	ecific matrix spikes and/or laborat	ory duplicates included in thi	is data set?	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



# **Cover Page**

Order ID: Q1492

Project ID : Nelson

Client : G Environmental

#### Lab Sample Number

Q1492-01

#### **Client Sample Number**

RHL1PXI

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :

Date: 3/15/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



## **CASE NARRATIVE**

G Environmental Project Name: Nelson Project # N/A Chemtech Project # Q1492 Test Name: SVOC-PAH

### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 03/05/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-PAH. This data package contains results for SVOC-PAH.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df The analysis of SVOC-PAH was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis. The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria. The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements. The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples. The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds . The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements . The RPD recoveries met the acceptable requirements . The RPD met criteria . The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples . The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination. The Initial Calibration met the requirements .

The Continuous Calibration File ID BF141858.D met the requirements except for Benzo(g,h,i)perylene and Nitrobenzene-d5, The associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount



for all compounds using Linear Regression when the  $\$ RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following " Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value			
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.			
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected			
J	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> </ul>			
В	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".			
Ε	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.			
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.			
Р	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".			
Ν	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.			
Α	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol- condensation product.			
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements			



#### APPENDIX A

#### **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q1492

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI