DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	tory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	JACOBS Engine	ering (Group,	Inc.		
Project	Location : Princeton Junction	Project Number :	D3868221					
Labora	tory Sample ID(s) : Q1501	Sampling Date(s):	3/04/2025,03/05/	2025				
List Dh	KQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8260D,8270-Modified,SOP						
1	For each analytical method referenced in this lab specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, i explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance star	ncluding the requirement to guidelines, as specified in the		\	Yes		No	
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation	on, and holding time requirements	s met?	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted v (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	vithout significant modifications			Yes		No	✓ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a described on the associated chain-of-custody do			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temper	erature (4±2° C)?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified ir standards achieved?	the NJDEP DKQP			Yes	\checkmark	No	
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced o communicated to the laboratory prior to sample r			V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these reporting limits met?			V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this lab results reported for all constituents identified in the presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-s	he method-specific analyte lists		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes		No	
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laborate	ory duplicates included in this dat	a set?	V	Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID: Q1501

Project ID: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Client: JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number Q1501-01 MW-16B-87.5-030425 Q1501-02 MW-16B-87.5-030425-FD Q1501-03 EB01-030525 Q1501-04 BR-05-465-030525 Q1501-05 Q1501-04MS Q1501-06 Q1501-04MSD Q1501-07 TB01-030525

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :			
oignature .	——————————————————————————————————————	٥:	3/11/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1501 Test Name: VOCMS Group3

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

7 Water samples were received on 03/05/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-SIMGroup1 and VOCMS Group3. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group3.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of VOCMS Group3 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for

BR-05-465-030525 [Dibromofluoromethane - 62%]

BR-05-465-030525RE [Dibromofluoromethane - 64%],

BR-05-465-030525MS [Dibromofluoromethane - 0%] and

BR-05-465-030525MSD [Dibromofluoromethane - 0%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria, sample was reanalyzed to confirm the failure and reported, MS and MSD surrogate failure confirm with parent sample.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1501-05MS} with File ID: VX045163.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane[47%], 1,1-Dichloroethene[140%],these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while Tetrachloroethene[178%] and Trichloroethene[190%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria due to matrix interference..

The MSD {Q1501-06MSD} with File ID: VX045164.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane[31%], 1,1-Dichloroethene[146%],these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while



Tetrachloroethene[171%] and Trichloroethene[183%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria due to matrix interference..

The RPD for {Q1501-06MSD} with File ID: VX045164.D met criteria except for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane[41%] due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.





CASE NARRATIVE

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site # D3868221

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1501 Test Name: SVOC-SIMGroup1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

7 Water samples were received on 03/05/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-SIMGroup1 and VOCMS Group3. This data package contains results for SVOC-SIMGroup1.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_N using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOC-SIMGroup1 was based on method 8270-Modified and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except MW-16B-87.5-030425-FD [Terphenyl-d14 - 132%], BR-05-465-030525 [Terphenyl-d14 - 135%], BR-05-465-030525MS [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 146%, Terphenyl-d14 - 148%], BR-05-465-030525MSD [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 138%, and Terphenyl-d14 - 139%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria, while for PB167006BS [2-Fluorobiphenyl - 157%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and inhouse criteria but the failure surrogates not associated with the client parameters list, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.



The Continuous Calibration File ID BN036534.D met the requirements except for 2,4,6-Tribromophenol, The failure compound not associated with the client parameters list, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <15% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 15% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q1501

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: MAHESH PATEL Date: 0
