

## REASONABLE CONFIDENCE PROTOCOL LABORATORY ANALYSIS QA/QCCERTIFICATION FORM

| Laboratory Name: | Client: Nobis Group |
|------------------|---------------------|
|                  | <br>_               |

Alliance Technical Group LLC

Project Number: 95700

Project Location: Stratford, CT
Sampling Date(s):03/10/25

Laboratory SampleID(s):Q1540

 ${\it List RCP Methods Used}$ 

(6010D)

| 1  | For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the CTDEP method-specific Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents? | ⊠Yes □ No |
|----|--|-----------|
| 1A | Were the method specified preservation and holding time requirements met?  |           |
| 1B | <u>VPH and EPH Methods only</u> : Was the VPH or EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective RCP methods)   |           |
| 2  | Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?  |           |
| 3  | Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (<6° C°)?  | ⊠Yes □No  |
| 4  | Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the CTDEP Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved?  | □Yes ⊠No  |
| _  | a) Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody?  | ⊠Yes □ No |
| 5  | b) Were these reporting limits met?  | ⊠Yes □ No |
| 6  | For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?   | ⊠Yes □ No |
| 7  | Are project-specific matrix spikes and laboratory duplicates included in this data set?  | □Yes ⊠No  |

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information must be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Reasonable Confidence." This form may not be altered and all questions must be answered.

| I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of m knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providin the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete. |               |            |  |  |  |
|--|---------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Authorized Signature:  | _Position: OC | SUPERVISOR |  |  |  |
| Printed Name: NIMISHA N. PANDYA  |               |            |  |  |  |
| Name of Laboratory CHEMTECH  |               |            |  |  |  |
|  |               |            |  |  |  |