# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name: Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	G Environmental					
Projec	t Location :	Project Number :	- Buffington - GE	CP				
Labora	atory Sample ID(s) : Q1622	Sampling Date(s):	3/19/2025					
List Dh	KQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8260D,SOP						
1	For each analytical method referenced in this labor specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, incexplain any criteria falling outside of acceptable gun NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standards.	cluding the requirement to idelines, as specified in the		<b>V</b>	Yes		No	
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes		No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted wit (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	hout significant modifications			Yes		No	☑ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a codescribed on the associated chain-of-custody docu			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples received at an appropriate tempera	ature (4±2° C)?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in to standards achieved?	he NJDEP DKQP			Yes	$\checkmark$	No	
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on communicated to the laboratory prior to sample recommunicated.	•		V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these reporting limits met?			$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this labor results reported for all constituents identified in the presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-spe	e method-specific analyte lists		<b>V</b>	Yes		No	
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory	y duplicates included in this data	a set?	<b>V</b>	Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Order ID:	Q1622
-----------	-------

**Project ID:** Buffington - GECP

**Client:** G Environmental

### Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number

Q1622-01 MW1
Q1622-02 MW3
Q1622-03 Field-Blank

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :	Date:	3/27/2025
	Date.	3/2//2023

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



# CASE NARRATIVE

**G** Environmental

**Project Name: Buffington - GECP** 

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1622 Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 03/21/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

# D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD for {VN0324WBSD01} with File ID: VN086077.D met criteria except for 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene[32%], 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene[27%], Isopropylbenzene[28%] and Methylcyclohexane[25%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria, due to difference in results of BS and BSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial



Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature		
Signature		



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

#### **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

**Project #: Q1622** 

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

<b>QA Review Signature:</b>	MAHESH PATEL	Date:	03/27/2025
-----------------------------	--------------	-------	------------