**From:** Ynfante, John <John.Ynfante@jacobs.com>

**Sent:** Friday, April 04, 2025 12:54 PM **To:** Yazmeen Gomez; Mohammad Ahmed

**Subject:** RE: Princeton SIM/no-SIM

EXTERNAL EMAIL - This email was sent by a person from outside your organization. Exercise caution when clicking links, opening attachments or taking further action, before validating its authenticity.

**Secured by Check Point** 

Thanks Yazmeen, but like I mentioned yesterday if you aren't able to analyze any of those 3 normal samples by SIM then I have no need for the EB to be analyzed by SIM either so please just cancel that SIM EB. I will already have EB data for VOCs from the EB you ran (or are running) by your routine low 8260D.

From: Yazmeen Gomez <Yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com>

Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 11:51 AM

To: Ynfante, John <John.Ynfante@jacobs.com>; Mohammad Ahmed <mohammad.ahmed@alliancetg.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Princeton SIM/no-SIM

John,

Please see attached. SIM analysis is also not possible for MW-18B and MW-17B.

However, "EB01-040225-SIM" will be analyzed with SIM.

## Best Regards,



Yazmeen Gomez Sr. Project Manager An Alliance Technical Group Company

**Main:** 908-789-8900 **Direct:** 908-728-3147

Address: 284 Sheffield St, Ste 1, Mountainside, NJ 07092

www.alliancetg.com in AST AEMAAS

From: Ynfante, John < John. Ynfante@jacobs.com >

Sent: Thursday, April 3, 2025 6:27 PM

To: Mohammad Ahmed <mohammad.ahmed@alliancetg.com>; Yazmeen Gomez <Yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com>

Subject: RE: Princeton SIM/no-SIM

EXTERNAL EMAIL - This email was sent by a person from outside your organization. Exercise caution when clicking links, opening attachments or taking further action, before validating its authenticity.

## Ok thanks for the confirmation Mohammad

From: Mohammad Ahmed < mohammad.ahmed@alliancetg.com >

Sent: Thursday, April 3, 2025 5:22 PM

To: Ynfante, John < <a href="mailto:John.Ynfante@jacobs.com">
John.Ynfante@jacobs.com</a>; Yazmeen Gomez < <a href="mailto:Yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com">
Yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com</a>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Princeton SIM/no-SIM

Hi John,

I will let you know about other 2 samples regarding SIM.

1 8oz jar is enough to run Total metals and SPLP

## Get Outlook for iOS

From: Ynfante, John < John. Ynfante@jacobs.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 3, 2025 5:55:35 PM

To: Yazmeen Gomez < <a href="mailto:Yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com">yazmeen Gomez <a href="mailto:Yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com">yazmeen.Gomez@alliancetg.com</a>; Mohammad Ahmed <a href="mailto:mohammad.ahmed@alliancetg.com">mohammad.ahmed@alliancetg.com</a>;

Subject: Princeton SIM/no-SIM

EXTERNAL EMAIL - This email was sent by a person from outside your organization. Exercise caution when clicking links, opening attachments or taking further action, before validating its authenticity.

**Secured by Check Point** 

## Hi Yazmeen and Mohammad, a few things:

After speaking with Mohammad I understand that the full scan 8260D run for the MW-17B sample had ~11ppm of TCE and high concs of other VOCs as well so you won't be able to run SIM on it – I understand the limitations and have passed that update on to the team so that is fine. There should also be SIM samples collected for 2 more samples - MW-18B and MW-19B so please let me know if your standard 8260 run shows similar concentrations on those as well. Note that these 3 samples are in a completely different location from the rest of the samples and their concentrations shouldn't necessarily represent the levels we will see for other samples – I understand if you have to dilute these high-conc samples I just don't want to over-dilute other samples unnecessarily.

Also, if you don't end up running any of the 3 SFAM-SIM samples then we have no need for that SIM equipment blank to be analyzed either so you can cancel that unless MW-18B or MW-19B turn out to be low enough to be able to be run by SIM in which case I would want the SIM EB.

Finally, for the soil samples we are collecting next week the client decided today that we should also collect for SPLP silver and place it on hold pending the total silver results – I believe you sent 8oz jars for the total silver so that should be plenty to cover the initial silver analysis and then still have enough for SPLP Silver if we need to run it right? Or do you prefer a completely separate jar for the SPLP Ag?

John Ynfante
Jacobs
Chemist
281-414-1719 mobile
John.Ynfante@jacobs.com
www.jacobs.com

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.