DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC		Client :	Portal Partners	Tri-Ve	nture			
Projec	t Location:	Kearny, NJ		Project Number :	9500000818					
Labora	atory Sample II	D(s): <u>Q1746</u>		Sampling Date(s)	: 04/05/2025,04/0	06/202	25,04/0	07/202	25	
List DI	KQP Methods l	Jsed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)		311,1311ZHE,6010 8270E,9012B,9034			3,8015	D,808	31B,80)82A,8151 <i>A</i>
1	specified QA/0 explain any cr	ytical method referenced in this QC performance criteria followed iteria falling outside of acceptable of Known Quality performance st	l, including e guideline	the requirement to)	V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the met	hod specified handling, preserva	tion, and h	olding time require	ments met?		Yes		No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted frespective DKQ methods)	d without s	ignificant modificat	ions (see	V	Yes		No	□ N/A
2		ples received by the laboratory in the associated chain-of-custody			nat	V	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temp	erature (4	±2° C)?		Ø	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/0 standards ac	QC performance criteria specified hieved?	I in the NJ	DEP DKQP			Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
5		ing limits specified or referenced d to the laboratory prior to sampl		ain-of-custody or		Ø	Yes		No	
	b)Were these	reporting limits met?				Ø	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results report	ytical method referenced in this led for all constituents identified he DKQP documents and/or site	in the meth	nod-specific analyte		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-sp	ecific matrix spikes and/or labor	atory dupli	cates included in th	nis data set?		Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID: Q1746

Project ID: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Client: Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number B-149-SB01 Q1746-01 Q1746-02 B-149-SB01 Q1746-03 B-149-SB02 Q1746-04 B-149-SB02 Q1746-05 B-158-GW01 Q1746-06 B-149-GW01 Q1746-07 EB-2025-4-7 Q1746-08 TB-2025-4-7

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	————— Date	 4/19/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746 Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for B-149-SB01 [4-Bromofluorobenzene - 61%] this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82X040225W.M) for t-1,3dichloropropene is passing on Linear Regression.



The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82Y032725S.M) for Methylene Chloride is passing on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID VX045614.D met the requirements except for Acetone is failing marginally low therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746 Test Name: TCLP VOA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.



Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_M using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_P using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for B-149-GW01 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 114%], EB-2025-4-7 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 115%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements. The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1744-01MS} with File ID: BM049865.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 4-Chloroaniline[23%] this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria also 2,4-Dimethylphenol[144%],



3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[31%], 3-Nitroaniline[28%], Atrazine[139%] and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[176%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q1744-01MSD} with File ID: BM049866.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for 4-Chloroaniline[25%] this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria also 2,4-Dimethylphenol[150%], 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[32%], 3-Nitroaniline[28%], Atrazine[144%] and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[184%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria due to matrix interference.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike for {PB167521BS} with File ID: BM049861.D met requirements for all samples except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[47%], 3-Nitroaniline[44%], 4-Chloroaniline[42%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[180%] this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria but no positive hit in associated samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Blank Spike Duplicate for {PB167521BSD} with File ID: BM049862.D met requirements for all samples except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[52%], 3-Nitroaniline[53%], 4-Chloroaniline[51%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria and Atrazine[132%] and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[190%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria but no positive hist in associated samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Blank Spike for {PB167509BS} with File ID: BM049947.D met requirements for all samples except for 3-Nitroaniline[65%], 4-Chloroaniline[48%] and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[164%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The % RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration (8270-BM040825.M) for 2,4-Dinitrophenol, these compounds are passing on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BM049944.D met the requirements except for Atrazine and Benzaldehyde but associated QC within limits therefore no corrective action taken.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BP024245.D met the requirements except for Di-n-octyl phthalate but associated sample having hit below CRQL therefore no corrective action taken.



The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 8 points.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746 Test Name: TCLP BNA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP BNA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_P using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of TCLP BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for PB167537BS [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 111%], B-149-SB02 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 120%], IB-1.5-WCMS [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 133%], IB-1.5-WCMSD [2,4 and6-Tribromophenol - 119%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements except for B-149-SB01., The above failure Internal standard not associated with the client parameters list, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1748-04MS} with File ID: BP024265.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene[132%],



this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but meet the in-house criteria, due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

•

The RPD for {Q1748-04MSD} with File ID: BP024266.D met criteria except for Pyridine[23%],this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria,due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the Requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	
- 6	





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746 Test Name: TCLP Pesticide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP Pesticide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_L. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of TCLP Pesticides was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:





F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.





E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Cianatana		
Signature		





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746 Test Name: TCLP Herbicide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP Herbicide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_S. The front column is RTX-CLPesticides which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 11139. The rear column is RTX-CLPesticides2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 11324The analysis of TCLP Herbicides was based on method 8151A and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for WC-LIQUID-20250404MSD [2,4-DCAA(2) - 131%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature			
Signature			
Digilatale	 	 	





Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: TPH GC

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TPH GC.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis were performed on instrument FID_G. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of TPH GC was based on method 8015D and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.





Signature			
_	 	 	



Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: EPH

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for EPH.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis were performed on instrument FID_C. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analyses were performed on instrument FID_D. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analysis of EPHs was based on method NJEPH and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q1746-01MS} with File ID: FD049276.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for Aromatic C10-C12[34.9%] Due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q1746-01MSD} with File ID: FD049277.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for Aromatic C10-C12[35%] Due to matrix interference.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.



The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

Sample B-158-GW01 was diluted due to high concentration for aliphatic compound.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: Metals ICP-TAL, Mercury

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Metals ICP-TAL, Mercury.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils) and 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A. The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate (B-149-GW01MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Aluminum, Barium, Calcium, Copper, Iron, Magnesium, Manganese, Potassium, Sodium, Vanadium, Zinc due to matrix interference.

The Duplicate (WC-2MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Mercury due to matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike (B-149-GW01MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Copper, Silver due to matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike (B-158-GW01MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Mercury due to matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike (WC-2MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Mercury due to matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (B-149-GW01MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Barium, Chromium, Copper, Silver, Vanadium due to matrix interference. The Matrix Spike Duplicate (B-158-GW01MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Mercury due to matrix interference.



The Matrix Spike Duplicate (IB-6.5-WCMSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Beryllium due to matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (WC-2MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Mercury due to matrix interference.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Signature			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: TCLP Mercury, TCLP ICP Metals

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP Mercury, TCLP ICP Metals.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike (TP-16MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Barium due to matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (TP-16MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Barium due to matrix interference.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed



above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Portal Partners Tri-Venture

Project Name: Amtrak Sawtooth Bridges 2025

Project # N/A

Chemtech Project # Q1746

Test Name: Hexavalent Chromium, Corrosivity, pH, Trivalent Chromium, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 04/07/2025.

4 Water samples were received on 04/07/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, EPH, EPH, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TPH GC, Trivalent Chromium, VOC-TCLVOA-10 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Hexavalent Chromium, Corrosivity, pH, Trivalent Chromium, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of Trivalent Chromium was based on method 6010D, The analysis of Hexavalent Chromium was based on method 7196A, The analysis of Reactive Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of Reactive Sulfide was based on method 9034 and The analysis of Corrosivity, pH was based on method 9045D.

D. OA/ OC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for B-149-SB01 of pH, for B-149-SB01 of Corrosivity, for B-149-SB02 of pH, for B-149-SB02 of Corrosivity as samples were receive out of holding time.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:



Signature_				



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q1746

	Completed
	- — — — — — —
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	' ' ' ' ' '
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u>✓</u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u>✓</u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>'</u> <u>'</u> <u>'</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u>✓</u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	04/19/2025