DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	G Environmenta	al				
Project Location : NJ		Project Number :	- Stockton						
Labora	atory Sample ID	(s): Q1851	Sampling Date(s):	4/21/2025					
List DI	KQP Methods Us	sed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8260D,NJEPH,SOP						
1	specified QA/Q explain any crit	tical method referenced in this la C performance criteria followed, eria falling outside of acceptable Known Quality performance sta	including the requirement to guidelines, as specified in the		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the metho	od specified handling, preservati	ion, and holding time requirem	ents met?	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		No	
1B		Vas the EPH method conducted respective DKQ methods)	without significant modificatio	ns (see	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
2		es received by the laboratory in the associated chain-of-custody of		t	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples r	received at an appropriate tempo	erature (4±2° C)?		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Q0 standards ach	C performance criteria specified ieved?	in the NJDEP DKQP			Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
5	1 '	ng limits specified or referenced to the laboratory prior to sample			$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
	b)Were these re	eporting limits met?			$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	tical method referenced in this land the second in this land for all constituents identified in the DKQP documents and/or site-	n the method-specific analyte I		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	cific matrix spikes and/or labora	tory duplicates included in this	data set?		Yes	V	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID: Q1851

Project ID: Stockton

Client: G Environmental

Client Sample Number			
DP5			
DP6			
DP7			
DP8			
GP5			
GP6			
GP7			

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :				
Signature .	——————— Dat	e:	4/25/2025	

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value				
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.				
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected				
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". 				
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.				
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.				
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".				
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.				
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.				
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements				





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q1851

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: NILESH PRAJAPATI Date: 04/25/2025