

Cover Page

Order ID: Q1883

Project ID: Raymark Superfund Site

Client: Nobis Group

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number Q1883-01 OU4-PCS-TC-27-042325 Q1883-02 OU4-PCS-TC-27-042325 Q1883-03 OU4-PCS-TC-28-042325 Q1883-04 OU4-PCS-TC-28-042325 Q1883-05 OU4-PCS-TC-29-042325 Q1883-06 OU4-PCS-TC-29-042325 Q1883-07 OU4-PCS-TC-30-042325 Q1883-08 OU4-PCS-TC-30-042325 Q1883-09 OU4-PCS-TC-31-042325 Q1883-10 OU4-PCS-TC-31-042325 Q1883-11 OU4-PCS-TC-32-042325 Q1883-12 OU4-PCS-TC-32-042325 Q1883-13 OU4-VSL-18-042325 Q1883-14 OU4-VSL-18-042325 Q1883-15 OU4-VSL-19-042325 Q1883-16 OU4-VSL-19-042325 Q1883-17 SO-TB-01-042325

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
	Date:	5/9/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



REASONABLE CONFIDENCE PROTOCOL LABORATORY ANALYSIS QA/QCCERTIFICATION FORM

Laboratory Name: Client: Nobis Group
AllianceTechnical Group LLC

Project Number: 95700

Project Location: Stratford, CT
Sampling Date(s):04/23/25

LaboratorySampleID(s):Q1883

ListRCPMethods Used

(9012B, 8151A, 7471B, 6010D, 8082A, 8081B, 8270E, 8260D,7470A,1312, 6020B)

1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the CTDEP method-specific Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?	⊠Yes □ No
1A	Were the method specified preservation and holding time requirements met?	
1B	<u>VPH and EPH Methods only</u> : Was the VPH or EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective RCP methods)	□Yes □ No ⊠N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?	⊠Yes □ No
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (<6° C°)?	⊠Yes □No
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the CTDEP Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved?	□Yes ⊠No
_	a) Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody?	⊠Yes □ No
5	b) Were these reporting limits met?	⊠Yes □ No
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?	⊠Yes □ No
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and laboratory duplicates included in this data set?	□Yes ⊠No

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information must be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Reasonable Confidence." This form may not be altered and all questions must be answered.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains a	nd penalties of perjury that, to the best of my			
knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing				
the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete.				
A 41 ' 1G' 4	D W OG GUDEDVIGOD			
Authorized Signature:	Position: OC SUPERVISOR			
Printed Name: NIMISHA N. PANDYA	Date:			
				
Name of Laboratory CHEMTECH				