DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC		Client :	G Environmental				
Project Location : Project Number :									
Laboratory Sample ID(s): Q2018 Sampling Date(s): 5/12/2025									
List Dł	KQP Methods Use	d (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8260D,	SOP					
1	specified QA/QC explain any criter	al method referenced in this lat performance criteria followed, ia falling outside of acceptable fnown Quality performance stat	ncluding th guidelines,	e requirement to		$\mathbf{\overline{N}}$	Yes	No	
1A	Were the method	I specified handling, preservation	on, and hold	ding time requirement	s met?	V	Yes	No	
1B		as the EPH method conducted v 3 of respective DKQ methods)	without sign	nificant modifications			Yes	No	M /A
2		s received by the laboratory in a associated chain-of-custody do				$\mathbf{\Sigma}$	Yes	No	
3	Were samples re	eceived at an appropriate temp	erature (4±	2° C)?		$\mathbf{\Sigma}$	Yes	No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC standards achieved	performance criteria specified i ved?	n the NJDE	P DKQP		V	Yes	No	
5		limits specified or referenced of the laboratory prior to sample		a-of-custody or		V	Yes	No	
	b)Were these rep	porting limits met?				\mathbf{N}	Yes	No	N/A
6	results reported	al method referenced in this lat for all constituents identified in DKQP documents and/or site-s	the method	I-specific analyte lists		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Yes	No	
7	Are project-speci	fic matrix spikes and/or laborat	ory duplicat	tes included in this dat	ta set?	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Yes	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



Cover Page

Order ID: Q2018

Project ID : Hillside

Client : G Environmental

Lab Sample Number

Q2018-04 Q2018-05 Q2018-06

Client Sample Number

MW2 MW3 FB

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :

Date: 5/19/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



CASE NARRATIVE

G Environmental Project Name: Hillside Project # N/A Order ID # Q2018 Test Name: VOCMS Group1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 05/12/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOCMS Group1. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group1.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of VOCMS Group1 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis. The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria. The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements. The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria. The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples. The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination. The Initial Calibration met the requirements. The Continuous Calibration met the requirements. The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Sample MW2 was diluted due to high concentration.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

The Sample #MW2DL have the concentration of target compound below Method detection limits, therefore it is not reported as Hit in Form1.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.



Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is >20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following " Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
В	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Ε	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
Р	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
Ν	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
Α	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol- condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements



APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2018

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u>✓</u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u>✓</u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u>✓</u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u>✓</u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u>✓</u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI