DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	ENTACT					
Projec	t Location:	Brooklyn, NY	Project Number :	E9309					
Labora	atory Sample ID	O(s): Q2236	Sampling Date(s):	6/04/2025					
List Dł	KQP Methods U		270E,9012B,9034,9045D,907						
1	specified QA/C explain any cri	rtical method referenced in this land the performance criteria followed teria falling outside of acceptable of Known Quality performance states.	, including the requirement to e guidelines, as specified in th		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the meth	nod specified handling, preservat	tion, and holding time requiren	nents met?		Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted frespective DKQ methods)	l without significant modification	ons (see		Yes		No	✓ N/A
2		les received by the laboratory in he associated chain-of-custody of		at	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temp	erature (4±2° C)?		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Q standards ach	C performance criteria specified nieved?	in the NJDEP DKQP			Yes	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No	
5		ng limits specified or referenced I to the laboratory prior to sample			$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes		No	
	b)Were these i	reporting limits met?			V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	rtical method referenced in this lact for all constituents identified in DKQP documents and/or site-	n the method-specific analyte		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	ecific matrix spikes and/or labora	atory duplicates included in this	s data set?		Yes	V	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



Fax: 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID: Q2236

Project ID: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Client: **ENTACT**

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number Q2236-01 WC-A4-05A-G Q2236-02 WC-A4-05A-C Q2236-03 WC-A4-05A-C Q2236-04 WC-A4-05A-C Q2236-05 WC-A2-04-G Q2236-06 WC-A2-04-C Q2236-07 WC-A2-04-C Q2236-08 WC-A2-04-C Q2236-09 WC-A2-05-G Q2236-10 WC-A2-05-C Q2236-11 WC-A2-05-C Q2236-12 WC-A2-05-C Q2236-13 WC-A2-06-G Q2236-14 WC-A2-06-C Q2236-15 WC-A2-06-C Q2236-16 WC-A2-06-C Q2236-17 WC-A2-07-G Q2236-18 WC-A2-07-C Q2236-19 WC-A2-07-C

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

WC-A2-07-C

Signature :			
Jigilatai C .	——————————————————————————————————————	· 6/16/	/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

Q2236-20

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236

Test Name: TCLP VOA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for WC-A2-04-G [Dibromofluoromethane - 68%], WC-A2-04-GRE [Dibromofluoromethane - 65%], WC-A2-07-GRE [Dibromofluoromethane - 64%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria and WC-A2-07-G [Dibromofluoromethane - 70%] this compound did not meet the in-house criteria but met the NJDKQP criteria, All the failure samples in surrogates were reanalyzed to confirm the failure as per method and reported.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements except for WC-A2-07-G, the failure sample in Internal standard was reanalyzed to confirm the failure as per method and reported.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

As per special requirement for this project form-1 are reported in mg/l. Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is $<\!20\%$ for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is $>\!20\%$ for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	
~ 1511000010	





ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236

Test Name: TCLP BNA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for TCLP BNA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_M using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of TCLP BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the Requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BM050262.D met the requirements except for Pentachlorophenol, is failing high but no positive hit in associate samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

As per special requirement for this project form-1 are reported in mg/l. Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_			
Signature			





ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236

Test Name: TCLP Pesticide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for TCLP Pesticide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of TCLP Pesticides was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

E. Additional Comments:

As per special requirement for this project form-1 are reported in mg/L.

F. Manual Integration Comments:





Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	





ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236 Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PO111512.D met the requirements except for Aroclor-1260(Peak-02) is failing in 1st column. however it is passed in 2nd column therefore no corrective action was taken.



E. Additional Comments:

As per special requirement for this project form-1 are reported in mg/kg.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

C:4			
Signature_			





ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236

Test Name: TCLP Herbicide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for TCLP Herbicide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_S. The front column is RTX-CLPesticides which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 11139. The rear column is RTX-CLPesticides2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 11324The analysis of TCLP Herbicides was based on method 8151A and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for WC-A2-05-C [2,4-DCAA(1) - 69%, 2 and 4-DCAA(2) - 66%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

As per special requirement for this project form-1 are reported in mg/L.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236

Test Name: TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group2

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group2.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TCLPMetals Group2 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike (TP09-MHJMS) analysis met criteria for all analysts except for Barium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (TP09-MHJMSD) analysis met criteria for all analysts except for Barium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

As per special requirement for this project form-1 and Hit Summary are reported in mg/l.



Signature			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2236

Test Name: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, pH, Reactive

Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TS, TVS

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

20 Solid samples were received on 06/04/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Leach Extraction, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, PCB, pH, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TCLP BNA, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction, TCLP-FULL, TCLPMetals Group2, TS and TVS. This data package contains results for ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, pH, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, TS, TVS.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of TVS was based on method 160.4, The analysis of ASTM Oil and Grease was based on method 1664A, The analysis of Reactive Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of Reactive Sulfide was based on method 9034, The analysis of Corrosivity,pH was based on method 9045D, The analysis of Oil and Grease was based on method 9071B, The analysis of Paint Filter was based on method 9095B, The analysis of ASTM TS,TS was based on method SM2540 B, The analysis of ASTM Ammonia was based on method SM4500-NH3 and The analysis of ASTM COD was based on method SM5220 D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for WC-A2-04-C of pH, for WC-A2-04-C of Corrosivity.for WC-A2-05-C of pH.for WC-A2-05-C of Corrosivity.for WC-A2-06-C of pH.for WC-A2-07-C of pH.for WC-A2-07-C of Corrosivity.for WC-A4-05A-C of pH.for WC-A4-05A-C of Corrosivity as samples were receive out of holding time.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike (WC-A2-07-CMS) analysis met criteria for all analysts except for Oil and Grease due to matrix interference.



The Matrix Spike Duplicate (WC-A2-07-CMSD) analysis met criteria for all analysts except for Oil and Grease due to sample matrix interference.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional C	Comments:
-----------------	------------------

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signatur	e			



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J B	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2236

	Completed
Earthonough various the various they after following.	
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	' ' ' ' '
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u>✓</u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u>*</u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	✓
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u>√</u> <u>√</u> <u>√</u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	

QA Review Signature:	PRATHA PARGHI	Date:	06/16/2025
----------------------	---------------	-------	------------