DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC Client : VERINA CON	SULTING GROUP, LLC					
Projec	t Location : NJ Project Number : 5183.0001						
Labora	atory Sample ID(s): Q2243 Sampling Date(s): 6/05/2025						
List Di	List DKQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) 1664A,6010D,9012B,SM2540 D,SM4500 CI G,SM4500-NH3,SM5210 B,SM5220 D,SOP						
1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standards?	Yes No					
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?	Yes Mo					
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A					
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?	✓ Yes □ No					
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)?	Yes No No N/A					
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved?	Yes No					
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody or communicated to the laboratory prior to sample receipt?	Yes No					
	b)Were these reporting limits met?	Yes No No N/A					
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specific QAPP?	Yes No					
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory duplicates included in this data set?	☐ Yes ☑ No					

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID: Q2243

Project ID: Rotor Clip NJ WTD - 2025

Client: VERINA CONSULTING GROUP, LLC

Lab Sample Number

Client Sample Number

Q2243-01	WATER-TREATMENT-DISCHARGE
Q2243-02	WATER-TREATMENT-DISCHARGE
Q2243-03	WATER-TREATMENT-DISCHARGEMS
Q2243-04	WATER-TREATMENT-DISCHARGEMSD

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	 Date:	6/13/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

VERINA CONSULTING GROUP, LLC Project Name: Rotor Clip NJ WTD - 2025

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2243

Test Name: Metals Group5

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Water samples were received on 06/05/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, BOD5, COD, Cyanide, Metals Group5, Oil and Grease, Residual Chlorine and TSS. This data package contains results for Metals Group5.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals Group5 was based on method 6010D and digestion based on method 3010 (waters).

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

In analytical sequence LB136052, The % Recovery Outside limits for Zinc of CCV06 but, no any sample associated under this CCV.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	:		
Digilatale			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

VERINA CONSULTING GROUP, LLC Project Name: Rotor Clip NJ WTD - 2025

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2243

Test Name: Ammonia, BOD5, COD, Cyanide, Oil and Grease, Residual Chlorine, TSS

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Water samples were received on 06/05/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ammonia, BOD5, COD, Cyanide, Metals Group5, Oil and Grease, Residual Chlorine and TSS. This data package contains results for Ammonia, BOD5, COD, Cyanide, Oil and Grease, Residual Chlorine, TSS.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Oil and Grease was based on method 1664A, The analysis of Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of TSS was based on method SM2540 D, The analysis of Residual Chlorine was based on method SM4500 Cl G, The analysis of Ammonia was based on method SM4500-NH3, The analysis of BOD5 was based on method SM5210 B and The analysis of COD was based on method SM5220 D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for WATER-TREATMENT-

DISCHARGE of Residual Chlorine as sample was receive out of holding time.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Signature_			



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2243

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>✓</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	√
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	✓
Was client requirement followed?	_
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	_
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	_
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	'
	

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date:	06/13/2025
--	------------