DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Laboratory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC Client : M2 Associates							
Projec	t Location :	Project Number :					
Labora	atory Sample ID(s) : Q2455	Sampling Date(s):	6/27/2025				
List Dh	KQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	8260D,SOP					
1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboral specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, inclease explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guid NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standa	uding the requirement to delines, as specified in the		V	Yes	No	
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation,	and holding time requirements	s met?	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes	No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted with (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	out significant modifications			Yes	No	☑ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?		<u> </u>	Yes	No		
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)?		V	Yes	No	□ N/A	
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved?		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes	No		
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the communicated to the laboratory prior to sample recommunicated.	-		V	Yes	No	
	b)Were these reporting limits met?			$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes	No	□ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laborate results reported for all constituents identified in the presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-spec	method-specific analyte lists		V	Yes	No	
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory	duplicates included in this dat	a set?	V	Yes	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

MW3

Cover Page

Order ID: Q2455

Q2455-02

Project ID: 143 Red Lion Rd Southampton Twp, NJ

Client: M2 Associates

Lab Sample NumberClient Sample NumberQ2455-01MW1

Q2455-03 MW10 Q2455-04 FIELD-BLANK

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	 Date:	7/8/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



CASE NARRATIVE

M2 Associates

Project Name: 143 Red Lion Rd Southampton Twp, NJ

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2455

Test Name: VOCMS Group2

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Water samples were received on 06/27/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOCMS Group2. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group2.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UIThe analysis of VOCMS Group2 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Samples MW1, MW3 and MW10 were diluted as per past history of these samples.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount



for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

$Signature_{_}$		
Signature		



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value			
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.			
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected			
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". 			
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.			
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.			
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".			
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.			
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.			
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements			





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2455

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	✓
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: MOHAMMAD AHMED Date: 07/08/2025