

Cover Page

Order ID: Q2473

Project ID: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Client: JPCL Engineering

Lab Sample Number	Client Sample Number
Q2473-01	PIT#1
Q2473-02	PIT#2
Q2473-03	PIT#3
Q2473-04	PIT#4
Q2473-05	PIT#1
Q2473-06	PIT#2
Q2473-07	PIT#3
Q2473-08	PIT#4

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :			
Signature .	—————— Dat	e:	7/15/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2473 Test Name: VOC-TCL

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCL.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCL was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for PIT#4 [Dibromofluoromethane - 61%], PIT#4RE [Dibromofluoromethane - 62%] sample was reanalyzed to confirm the failure and reported.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements except for PIT#4RE sample was reanalyzed to confirm the failure and reported.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.





The Continuous Calibration File ID VY022903.D met the requirements except for Acetone is failing high and associate sample having hit of acetone therefore sample was reanalyzed to confirm the failure and reported.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

<u> </u>	<u>}</u>	<u>}</u>	<u>, </u>





JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # O2473

Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um dfThe analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for PIT#1DL [2,4 and6-Tribromophenol - 7%], one acid surrogate allowed to fail therefore no further corrective acition was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike for {PB168694BS} with File ID: BF142989.D met requirements for all samples except for Butylbenzylphthalate[106%], is failing high but no positive hit in associate samples therefore no corrective action taken

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.



The Continuous Calibration File ID BF142964.D met the requirements except for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, is failing high but no positive hit in associate samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Continuous Calibration File ID BF143025.D met the requirements except for 2,4-Dinitrophenol,4-Nitrophenol and Pentachlorophenol, are failing high but no positive hit in associate samples therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Samples PIT#1, PIT#2 and PIT#3 were diluted due to viscous concentrated and dirty matrix.

Sample PIT#1 was diluted due to high concentration.

E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_		
Signature		





JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2473 Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.





Signature					





JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # O2473

Test Name: Pesticide-TCL

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Pesticide-TCL.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of Pesticide-TCLs was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples .

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements .

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:





Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	





JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2473 Test Name: Herbicide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Herbicide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_S. The front column is RTX-CLPesticides which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 11139. The rear column is RTX-CLPesticides2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 11324The analysis of Herbicides was based on method 8151A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS {Q2458-01MS} with File ID: PS030949.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [Dinoseb(1)0% - Dinoseb(2)0%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q2458-01MSD} with File ID: PS030950.D recoveries met the acceptable requirements except for [Dinoseb(1)0% - Dinoseb(2)0%] due to matrix interference.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements .

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	



JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2473 Test Name: TPH GC

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TPH GC.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis were performed on instrument FID_G and FID_F. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of TPH GC was based on method 8015D and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

Samples PIT#1, PIT#2 and PIT#3 were diluted due to bad matrices. The above sample original run is

reported as screening data in miscellaneous data.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.



F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature			
~ - 5-1-000 00-1 0	 	 	 _



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # O2473

Test Name: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Duplicate (TP-104MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Calcium due to sample matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike (TP-104MS) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Antimony, Selenium, Silver, and Sodium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (TP-104MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Antimony, Selenium, Silver, and Sodium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Post Digest Spike (TP-104A) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Silver due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis, matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.



In analytical sequence LB136390, The % recovery was outside of acceptance limit for Thallium of CCV05 but, no any sample associated under this CCV.

In analytical sequence LB136390, The % recovery was outside of acceptance limit for Potassium of CCV06 but, no any sample associated under this CCV.

In analytical sequence LB136390, The % recovery was outside of acceptance limit for Thallium of CCV06 but, no any sample associated under this CCV.

Signature			
Signature			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2473

Test Name: TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group3

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group3.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TCLPMetals Group3 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

In analytical sequence LB136407, The Result was outside of acceptance limit for Silver of CCB04 and CCB05 but, no any Sample associated under these CCBs.

In analytical sequence LB136434, The Result was outside of acceptance limit for Silver of CCB08 but, no any Sample associated under this CCB.



Signature			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

JPCL Engineering

Project Name: NOHO RFI No. SC64025 NYC

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2473

Test Name: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

8 Solid samples were received on 07/01/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Corrosivity, Cyanide, Herbicide, Ignitability, Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, PCB, PESTICIDE Group1, Pesticide-TCL, RCRA CHARACTERISTICS, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide, SVOC-Chemtech Full, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP Metal, TCLPMetals Group3, TPH, TPH GC, VOC-TCL and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for Corrosivity, Cyanide, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of Cyanide, Reactive Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of Reactive Sulfide was based on method 9034 and The analysis of Corrosivity was based on method 9045D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for PIT#1 of Corrosivity, for PIT#2 of Corrosivity.for PIT#3 of Corrosivity.for PIT#4 of Corrosivity as samples were receive out of holding time.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all parameters.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all parameters.

The Matrix Spike (PIT#1MS) analysis met criteria for all parameters except for Cyanide due to sample matrix interference.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (PIT#1MSD) analysis met criteria for all parameters except for Cyanide due to sample matrix interference.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:



Signature			



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).	
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.	
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected	
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference	
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.	
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.	
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).	
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.	
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.	
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.	
M OR	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.	
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements	
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time	



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value	
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.	
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected	
J B	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". 	
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.	
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.	
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".	
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.	
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.	
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements	





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2473

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	✓
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	✓
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	✓
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	✓
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	✓
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	✓
Was client requirement followed?	✓
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	✓
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date: 07/15/2025