DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name : All <u>iance Technical Grou</u> p LLC	Client :	Environment	al Restora	tion, L	LC_	
Projec	t Location : Long Valley NJ	Project Number :	CC2-16				
Labora	atory Sample ID(s) : Q2594	Sampling Date(s):	7/14/2025				
List D	KQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) 608 .	.3, 625.1, 624.1					
1	For each analytical method referenced in this laborat specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, incluexplain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guide NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standard	ding the requirement to elines, as specified in the		√ Yes		No	
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, a	nd holding time requiren	nents met?	✓ Yes		No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted witho Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	ut significant modificatio	ns (see	☐ Yes		No	☑ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condescribed on the associated chain-of-custody docum		at	✓ Yes		No	
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperatur	re (4±2° C)?		☐ Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the standards achieved?	NJDEP DKQP		☐ Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the communicated to the laboratory prior to sample recei			✓ Yes		No	
	b)Were these reporting limits met?			✓ Yes		No	□ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laborat results reported for all constituents identified in the presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specification.	nethod-specific analyte		Yes		No	
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory d	uplicates included in this	s data set?	☑ Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID	:	Q2594
----------	---	-------

Project ID: Cooper Chemical - Long Valley NJ 2-COOP-ANS

Client: Environmental Restoration, LLC

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number

Q2594-01 CC-071325-RW

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :			
Signature .	————— Dat	te: 7	7/30/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012





Environmental Restoration, LLC

Project Name: Cooper Chemical - Long Valley NJ 2-COOP-ANS

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2594

Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 07/14/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 624.1.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD for {VN0718WBSD01} with File ID: VN087361.D met criteria except for Bromomethane[42%] due to difference in results of BS and BSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Samples CC-071325-RW was diluted due to dark yellow and foamy in nature.

E. Additional Comments:

"As per method, MS/MSD is required to be performed with the sample analysis. However, Lab did not receive sufficient volume to perform the MS/MSD therefore MS/MSD were not performed for this project. However, Lab has performed LCS/LCSD instead."



The temperature of the samples at the time of receipt was 24.2°C .Lab notified this issue to the client. See the communication in shipping Document section.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <35% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 35% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

~ :			
Signature			
Digitature_	 		





Environmental Restoration, LLC

Project Name: Cooper Chemical - Long Valley NJ 2-COOP-ANS

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2594

Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 07/14/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-TCL BNA -20. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 625.1 and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike for met requirements for all compounds except for 4-Chloroaniline[38%], 3-Nitroaniline[59%] and 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine [50%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Blank Spike Duplicate for met requirements for all compounds except for 4-Chloroaniline[39%], 3-Nitroaniline[60%] and 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine [50%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% for certain compounds in the Initial Calibration (Method 8270-BF071725.M) for 2,4-Dinitrophenol, 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol this Compound is passing on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	:			





Environmental Restoration, LLC

Project Name: Cooper Chemical - Long Valley NJ 2-COOP-ANS

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2594

Test Name: Pesticide-TCL

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 07/14/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Pesticide-TCL. This data package contains results for Pesticide-TCL.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of Pesticide-TCLs was based on method 608.3 and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for CC-071325-RW [Decachlorobiphenyl(2)53%]this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and inhouse criteria but AS per method one surrogate allowed to fail to meet the criteria per column. No further corrective action was taken.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

"As per method, MS/MSD is required to be performed with the sample analysis. However, Lab did not receive sufficient volume to perform the MS/MSD therefore MS/MSD were not performed for this project. However, Lab has performed LCS/LCSD instead."

The temperature of the samples at the time of receipt was 24.2°C. Lab notified this issue to the client. See the communication in shipping Document section.





F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature		





Environmental Restoration, LLC

Project Name: Cooper Chemical - Long Valley NJ 2-COOP-ANS

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2594 Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 07/14/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB, Pesticide-TCL, SVOC-TCL BNA -20 and VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 608.3 and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for CC-071325-RW [Tetrachloro-m-xylene(2)196%]this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and inhouse criteria but AS per method one surrogate allowed to fail to meet the criteria per column. No further corrective action was taken

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PP073900.D met the requirements except for Aroclor-1260(Peak-02) is failing in 1st column however it is passing for 2nd column therefore no corrective action taken.

E. Additional Comments:

"As per method, MS/MSD is required to be performed with the sample analysis. However, Lab did not receive sufficient volume to perform the MS/MSD therefore MS/MSD were not performed for this project. However, Lab has performed LCS/LCSD



The temperature of the samples at the time of receipt was 24.2°C .Lab notified this issue to the client. See the communication in shipping Document section.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature			
Signature			



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2594

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	07/30/2025
------------------------------------	-------	------------