

Cover Page

Order ID : Q2645

Project ID : NWIRP Bethpage 112G08005-WE13

Client : Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Lab Sample Number

Q2645-02
Q2645-03

Client Sample Number

RW5B-CARBON-20250716
RW5B-CARBON-20250716

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : _____

Date: 7/30/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012

CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage 112G08005-WE13

Project Manager : Ernie Wu

Order ID # Q2645

Test Name: TCLP VOA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 07/18/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP VOA. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868. The analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is)."

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage 112G08005-WE13

Project Manager : Ernie Wu

Order ID # Q2645

Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 07/18/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-TCL BNA -20. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for RW5B-CARBON-20250716 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 3%, 2-Fluorobiphenyl - 7%, 2-Fluorophenol - 2%, Nitrobenzene-d5 - 9%, Phenol-d6 - 3% and Terphenyl-d14 - 4%]. The similar failure in the Pest / PCB sample was also observed. The surrogates in the re-extracted Pest/PCB sample failed again, confirming the matrix interference. Therefore, the sample RW5B-CARBON-20250716 was not re-extracted and also not re-analyzed, as the reanalysis would result in the same recoveries of the surrogates. Therefore no further corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q2649-09MS} with File ID: BF143219.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 4-Chloroaniline[13%]. Recovery failed due to matrix interference. Therefore no further corrective action was taken.

The MSD {Q2649-09MSD} with File ID: BF143220.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 4-Chloroaniline[16%]. Recovery failed due to matrix interference. Therefore no further corrective action was taken.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

The RPD for {Q2649-09MSD} with File ID: BF143220.D met criteria except for 4-Chloroaniline[21%]. RPD failed due to result difference between MS and MSD, therefore no further corrective action was taken.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% for certain compounds in the Initial Calibration (Method 8270-BF071725.M) for 2,4-Dinitrophenol, 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol these Compound is passing on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is)."

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage 112G08005-WE13

Project Manager: Ernie Wu

Order ID # Q2645

Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 07/18/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for RW5B-CARBON-20250716 [Decachlorobiphenyl(1)6%, Decachlorobiphenyl(2)6%, Tetrachloro-m-xylene(1)1%, Tetrachloro-m-xylene(2)2%], RW5B-CARBON-20250716RX [Decachlorobiphenyl(1)5%, Decachlorobiphenyl(2)1%, Tetrachloro-m-xylene(1)1% and Tetrachloro-m-xylene(2)1%], Sample RW5B-CARBON-20250716 (Q2645-02) failed for surrogate from PB168927, Therefore sample was Re-extracted and reanalyzed from PB168946 and both run reported.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The RPD for {Q2649-01MSD} with File ID: PO112380.D met criteria except for AR1016[21%] due to difference in results of MS-MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.
The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PP073987.D met the requirements except for Decachlorobiphenyl is failing in 2nd column however it is passing in 1st column therefore no corrective action taken.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PP074002.D met the requirements except for Aroclor-1260(Peak-02) is failing in 1st column however it is passing in 2nd column therefore no corrective action taken.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is)."

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.
The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage 112G08005-WE13

Project Manager : Ernie Wu

Order ID # Q2645

Test Name: TCLP Mercury,TCLPMetals Group1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 07/18/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Flash Point, Ignitability, PCB, pH, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP Extraction, TCLP Mercury, TCLP METALGROUP1, TCLP VOA, TCLP ZHE Extraction and TCLPMetals Group1. This data package contains results for TCLP Mercury,TCLPMetals Group1.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TCLPMetals Group1 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike (WC-6MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Mercury and Barium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (WC-6MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Mercury and Barium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The Post Digest Spike (WC-6A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).



I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

Project Name: NWIRP Bethpage 112G08005-WE13

Project Manager : Ernie Wu

Order ID # Q2645

Test Name: Ignitability,pH

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 07/18/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Ignitability,pH. This data package contains results for Ignitability,pH.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, and The analysis of pH was based on method 9045D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all samples except for RW5B-CARBON-20250716 of pH as sample was receive out of holding time.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The laboratory certifies that the all-electronic diskette deliverable exactly match the data summary forms (i.e. Form Is).

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “ Results Qualifiers” are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers “P” for ICP instrument “PM” for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used “CV” for Manual Cold Vapor AA “AV” for automated Cold Vapor AA “CA” for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric “AS” for Semi -Automated Spectrophotometric “C” for Manual Spectrophotometric “T” for Titrimetric “NR” for analyte not required to be analyzed
OR	Indicates the analyte’s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
H	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “Results Qualifiers” are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. “10 U”. This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as “12 B”.
E	Indicates the analyte ‘s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a “P”.
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q2645

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

GENERAL:

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓

COVER PAGE:

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓

CHAIN OF CUSTODY:

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Custody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓

ANALYTICAL:

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI

Date: 07/30/2025