# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Laboratory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC  Client : G Environmental

Project Location : NJ  Project Number : Freehold

Laboratory Sample ID(s) : Q2705  Sampling Date(s) : 7/25/2025

List DKQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) **6010D,NJEPH,SOP**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standards? | ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| 1A | Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met? | ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| 1B | EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods) | ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A |
| 2 | Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)? | ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| 3 | Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)? | ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A |
| 4 | Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved? | ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| 5 | a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody or communicated to the laboratory prior to sample receipt?<br><br>b)Were these reporting limits met? | ☑ Yes ☐ No<br><br>☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A |
| 6 | For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specific QAPP? | ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| 7 | Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory duplicates included in this data set? | ☐ Yes ☑ No |

Notes: For all questions to which the response was “No” (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is “No”, the data package does not meet the requirements for “Data of Known Quality.”

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900,
Fax : 908 789 8922

# Cover Page

**Order ID :** Q2705

**Project ID :** Freehold

**Client :** G Environmental

| Lab Sample Number | Client Sample Number |
|---|---|
| Q2705-01 | FG1A |
| Q2705-02 | FG1B |
| Q2705-03 | FG2A |
| Q2705-04 | FG2B |
| Q2705-05 | FG2C |

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract,both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : _____

Date: 8/6/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

# CASE NARRATIVE

**G Environmental**
**Project Name: Freehold**
**Project # N/A**
**Order ID # Q2705**
**Test Name: EPH_NF**

**A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**
2 Solid samples were received on 07/25/2025.

**B. Parameters**
According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: EPH_NF. This data package contains results for EPH_NF.

**C. Analytical Techniques:**
The analysis were performed on instrument FID_C. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224.The analysis were performed on instrument FID_E. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224.The analysis of EPH_NFs was based on method NJEPH and extraction was done based on method 3541.

**D. QA/ QC Samples:**
The Holding Times were met for all analysis.
The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.
The Retention Times were met for all analysis.
The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.
The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.
The RPD were met for all analysis.
The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.
The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.
The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.
The Initial Calibration met the requirements.
The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

Sample FG1A was diluted due to high concentration.

**E. Additional Comments:**
The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

**F. Manual Integration Comments:**
Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

# CASE NARRATIVE

**G Environmental**
**Project Name: Freehold**
**Project # N/A**
**Order ID # Q2705**
**Test Name: Metals Group5**

**A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**
4 Solid samples were received on 07/25/2025.

**B. Parameters:**
According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: EPH_NF and Metals Group5. This data package contains results for Metals Group5.

**C. Analytical Techniques:**
The analysis of Metals Group5 was based on method 6010D and digestion based on method 3050 (soils).

**D. QA/ QC Samples:**
The Holding Times were met for all analysis.
The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.
The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.
The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all compounds.
The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.
The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.
The Calibration met the requirements.
The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

**E. Additional Comments:**

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

Alliance TECHNICAL GROUP

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside NJ 07092
Tel. 908-789-8900 Fax: 908-789-8922

# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following " Results Qualifiers" are used:

| | |
|---|---|
| **J** | Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL). |
| **U** | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected. |
| **ND** | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected |
| **E** | Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference |
| **M** | Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met. |
| **N** | Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits. |
| **S** | Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA). |
| * | Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits. |
| + | Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995. |
| **D** | Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range. |
| **M** | Method qualifiers<br>**"P"** for ICP instrument<br>**"PM"** for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used<br>**"CV"** for Manual Cold Vapor AA<br>**"AV"** for automated Cold Vapor AA<br>**"CA"** for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric<br>**"AS"** for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric<br>**"C"** for Manual Spectrophotometric<br>**"T"** for Titrimetric<br>**"NR"** for analyte not required to be analyzed |
| **OR** | Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis. |
| **Q** | Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements |
| H | Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time |

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside NJ 07092 Tel. 908-789-8900 Fax: 908-789-8922

# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following " Results Qualifiers" are used:

| | |
|---|---|
| Value | If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value |
| **U** | Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required. |
| **ND** | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected |
| **J** | Indicates an estimated value**.** This flag is used:<br>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)<br>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. |
| **B** | Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". |
| **E** | Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis. |
| **D** | This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor. |
| **P** | This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P". |
| **N** | This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used. |
| **A** | This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product. |
| **Q** | Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements |

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900,
Fax : 908 789 8922

**APPENDIX A**

**QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

**Project #:** **Q2705**

| | Completed |
|---|---|
| **For thorough review, the report must have the following:** | |
| **GENERAL:** | |
| **Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)** | ✓ |
| **Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples** | ✓ |
| **Is the chain of custody signed and complete** | ✓ |
| **Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts** | ✓ |
| **Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed** | ✓ |
| **COVER PAGE:** | |
| **Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page** | ✓ |
| **Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody** | ✓ |
| **CHAIN OF CUSTODY:** | |
| **Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results** | ✓ |
| **Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page** | ✓ |
| **Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody** | ✓ |
| **Were the samples received within hold time** | ✓ |
| **Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle** | ✓ |
| **ANALYTICAL:** | |
| **Was method requirement followed?** | ✓ |
| **Was client requirement followed?** | ✓ |
| **Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?** | ✓ |
| **All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements** | ✓ |
| **All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified** | ✓ |

**QA Review Signature:** **SOHIL JODHANI** **Date:** **08/06/2025**