# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client : JACOBS Engineering Group,	Inc.				
Project	t Location :	Princeton Junction	Project Number : D3868221					
Labora	atory Sample ID(	s): Q2878	Sampling Date(s): 8/13/2025,08/14/2	025				
List Dk	KQP Methods Us	sed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	,6020B,7470A,8260D,8270-Modified,9056A,SM	/l2320	B,SM2	2540 C	,SOP	
1	specified QA/Q explain any crit	ical method referenced in this labo C performance criteria followed, in eria falling outside of acceptable g Known Quality performance stand	cluding the requirement to uidelines, as specified in the	<b>V</b>	Yes		No	
1A	Were the metho	od specified handling, preservation	n, and holding time requirements met?	V	Yes		No	
1B		Vas the EPH method conducted wi .3 of respective DKQ methods)	thout significant modifications		Yes		No	✓ N/A
2		es received by the laboratory in a ce e associated chain-of-custody doc		V	Yes		No	
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A	
4	Were all QA/Q0 standards achi	C performance criteria specified in eved?	the NJDEP DKQP		Yes	V	No	
5	1 '	g limits specified or referenced on to the laboratory prior to sample re	•	V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these re	eporting limits met?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	cical method referenced in this laboral for all constituents identified in the DKQP documents and/or site-sp	ne method-specific analyte lists	V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	cific matrix spikes and/or laborator	y duplicates included in this data set?		Yes	<b>V</b>	No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

**Order ID:** Q2878

**Project ID:** Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site D3868221

**Client:** JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

#### **Lab Sample Number**

#### **Client Sample Number**

MW-18B-57.5-081325 MW-18B-57.5-081325 MW-11B-37.5-081425
TB01-081425

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :	Date:	9/24/2025
	Date.	0,2 ,,2020

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site D3868221

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2878

**Test Name: VOCMS Group3** 

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 08/14/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOCMS Group3. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group3.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UI. The analysis of VOCMS Group3 was based on method 8260D.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Sample MW-11B-37.5-081425 was run at straight dilution after checking past history of this sample containing high amounts of compounds cis-1,2-Dichloroethene and Trichloroethene.

Sample MW-18B-57.5-081325 was diluted due to high concentration.

#### E. Additional Comments:

This data package has been revised due to client ID changed for sample#05 as per client request.

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples.

The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.



The SIM analysis is not required for the sample MW-18B-57.5-081325-SIM as all the SIM target analytes are detected at or above the sample adjusted CRQLs in the full scan analysis, a SIM analysis is not to be performed for that sample."

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_		
Digitature		



## **CASE NARRATIVE**

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site D3868221

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2878

**Test Name: SVOC-SIMGroup1** 

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

5 Water samples were received on 08/14/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Alkalinity, Anions Group1, Dissolved ICP-Group2, Dissolved Metals Group3, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, SVOC-SIMGroup1, TDS, VOC-SIM and VOCMS Group3. This data package contains results for SVOC-SIMGroup1.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_N using GC Column ZB-Semi Volatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGAThe analysis of SVOC-SIMGroup1 was based on method 8270-Modified and extraction was done based on method 3510.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries met the acceptable criteria except for

MW-11B-37.5-081425DL [Terphenyl-d14 - 135%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all samples.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements .

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Sample MW-11B-37.5-081425 was diluted due to high concentration.





#### **E. Additional Comments:**

This data package has been revised due to client ID changed for sample#05 as per client request.

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Please use %D calculated based on Avg RF and CCRF for all compounds using Average Response Factor when the %RSD value for a compound is <20% for the Initial Calibration curve and use %D calculated based on Amount added and Calculated amount for all compounds using Linear Regression when the %RSD value for a compound is > 20% for the Initial Calibration curve for SW-846 analysis.

## **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_		
Digitature		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site D3868221

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2878

Test Name: Dissolved ICP-Group2, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

5 Water samples were received on 08/14/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Alkalinity, Anions Group1, Dissolved ICP-Group2, Dissolved Metals Group3, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, METALS-TAL, SVOC-SIMGroup1, TDS, VOC-SIM and VOCMS Group3. This data package contains results for Dissolved ICP-Group2, Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL.

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Dissolved ICP-Group2,Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6020B, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike (MW-18B-57.5-081325MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Calcium, Potassium and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (MW-18B-57.5-081325MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

## E. Additional Comments:

The Post Digest Spike (MW-18B-57.5-081325A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Silver due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.



Collision cell is being used to remove potential interferences. The analytes Na, Mg, Al, K, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As are being analyzed with collision cell and analytes Be, B, Ca, Ti, Se, Sr, Zr, Mo, Ag, Cd, Sn, Sb, Ba, Tl, Pb, U are being analyzed with Non-Collision Cell. Helium gas is used for the Collision Cell analysis.

Sample Q2878-01 was analyzed as Total Metal and Sample Q2878-02 was analyzed as Dissolved Metal.

$Signature_{\_}$		
Signature		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## CASE NARRATIVE

**JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc.** 

Project Name: Former Schlumberger STC PTC Site D3868221

Project # N/A Order ID # Q2878

Test Name: Alkalinity, Anions Group1, TDS

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Water sample was received on 08/14/2025.

#### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Alkalinity, Anions Group 1, TDS. This data package contains results for Alkalinity, Anions Group 1, TDS.

## C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Anions Group1 was based on method 9056A, The analysis of Alkalinity was based on method SM2320 B and The analysis of TDS was based on method SM2540 C.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

Sample MW-18B-57.5-081325 was diluted due to high concentrations for Chloride and Sulfate.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

Signature	
_	



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M OR	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

## **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q2878

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	09/24/2025
QA Keview Signature.	SOME SODIAM	Date.	07/24/2025