

## Cover Page

**Order ID :** Q3178

**Project ID :** RFP 916

**Client :** Weston Solutions, Inc.

**Lab Sample Number**

Q3178-01  
Q3178-02  
Q3178-03

**Client Sample Number**

EME-TS14-01  
EME-TS14-01MS  
EME-TS14-01MSD

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 10/2/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092  
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**

**Project Name: RFP 916**

**Project # N/A**

**Order ID # Q3178**

**Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_W were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868. The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis except for EME-TS14-01MS, EME-TS14-01MSD original analysis met all QC limit requirements therefore no corrective action taken.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q3178-02MS} with File ID: VW032271.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane[280%], 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane[240%], Isopropylbenzene[173%] and Methyl Acetate[293%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q3178-03MSD} with File ID: VW032272.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane[224%], 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane[196%], Isopropylbenzene[168%] and Methyl Acetate[281%] due to matrix interference.

The RPD for {Q3178-03MSD} with File ID: VW032272.D met criteria except for 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane[22%], 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene[23%], Bromodichloromethane[22%], Carbon disulfide[27%], Carbon Tetrachloride[21%], cis-1,2-Dichloroethene[21%], cis-1,3-Dichloropropene[29%], Dibromochloromethane[31%], Methylcyclohexane[22%], o-Xylene[22%], Styrene[27%], t-1,3-Dichloropropene[26%], Toluene[33%], Trichloroethene[26%] and Trichlorofluoromethane[24%]



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092  
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82W082625S.M) for Methylene chloride passing on Quadratic regression.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration method (82W092525S.M) for Methylene chloride passing on Linear regression.

The Continuous Calibration File ID VW032241.D met the requirements except for Methyl Acetate is failing high but no positive hit in associate sample therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

#### **E. Calculation:**

Low Level Soil Calculation in ug/Kg dry weight basis

$$\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(Df)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(W_s)(D)}$$

Where

A<sub>x</sub> = Area for the compound to be measured

A<sub>is</sub> = Area for the specific internal standard

I<sub>s</sub> = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

RRF = Relative response factor of the initial calibration curve standard.

D<sub>f</sub> = Dilution factor

W<sub>s</sub> = Weight of sample

D =  $\frac{100 - \% \text{moisture}}{100}$

#### **F. Additional Comments:**

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

#### **G. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092  
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**

**Project Name: RFP 916**

**Project # N/A**

**Order ID # Q3178**

**Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-TCL BNA -20. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA\_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for PB169827BL [Terphenyl-d14 - 107%], marginally high therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q3178-02MS} with File ID: BF143817.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for Benzo(b)fluoranthene[130%], due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q3178-03MSD} with File ID: BF143818.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 2,6-Dinitrotoluene[139%] and Benzo(b)fluoranthene [139%], due to matrix interference.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike for {PB169827BS} with File ID: BF143804.D met requirements for all compounds except for 2,6-Dinitrotoluene[112%], Butylbenzylphthalate[106%], marginally high, but the associate samples have no positive hit for these compounds therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Method 8270-BF092325.M for 2-Nitrophenol & 2,4-Dinitrotoluene & are passing on Linear regression, and 2,4-Dinitrophenol, 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol, they are passing on Quadratic regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.  
The Tuning criteria met requirements.

**E. Additional Comments:**

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Concentration of SOIL Sample:

Concentration ug/Kg,  
(dry weight basis) = (Ax) (Is) (Vt) (DF) (GPC)

(Ais) (RRF) (Vi) (Wt) (D)

Where,

Ax = Area of the characteristic ion for the compound to be measured.

Ais = Area of the characteristic ion for the internal standard.

Is = Amount of internal standard injected in ng.

Vi = Volume of extract injected in microliters (uL)

Vt = Volume of concentrated extract in microliters (uL)

Wt = Weight of the original sample extracted in g

Df = Dilution factor

RRF = Mean Relative Response Factor determined from the initial calibration standard.

GPC =  $V_{in} = GPC$  factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)

$V_{out}$  = Volume of extract collected after GPC cleanup.

**F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**

**Project Name: RFP 916**

**Project # N/A**

**Order ID # Q3178**

**Test Name: Pesticide-TCL**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Pesticide-TCL. This data package contains results for Pesticide-TCL.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD\_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df.; Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis was performed on instrument ECD\_L. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df.; Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of Pesticide-TCLs was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3541.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for EME-TS14-01MS [Decachlorobiphenyl(1)13%, Decachlorobiphenyl(2)15%] but original sample and MSD passing for surrogate therefore no corrective action taken.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q3178-02MS} with File ID: PL097444.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [4,4-DDE(1)52% - 4,4-DDE(2)49%], [4,4-DDT(1)44% - 4,4-DDT(2)31%], [Aldrin(1)48% - Aldrin(2)51%], [alpha-BHC(1)55% - alpha-BHC(2)57%], [Endosulfan I(1)54% - Endosulfan I(2)45%], [Endosulfan sulfate(1)45% - Endosulfan sulfate(2)33%], [Endrin aldehyde(1)27% - Endrin aldehyde(2)20%], [Endrin ketone(1)52% - Endrin ketone(2)36%], [Endrin(1)50% - Endrin(2)45%], [gamma-BHC (Lindane)(1)41% - gamma-BHC (Lindane)(2)43%], [Heptachlor(1)39% - Heptachlor(2)40%], [Methoxychlor(1)41% - Methoxychlor(2)30%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q3178-03MSD} with File ID: PL097445.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [4,4-DDT(1)50% - 4,4-DDT(2)40%], [Endosulfan sulfate(1)54% - Endosulfan sulfate(2)45%], [Endrin aldehyde(1)27% - Endrin aldehyde(2)20%], [gamma-BHC (Lindane)(1)18% - gamma-BHC (Lindane)(2)17%], [Heptachlor(1)28% - Heptachlor(2)27%], [Methoxychlor(1)46% - Methoxychlor(2)41%] and due to matrix interference.

The RPD for {Q3178-03MSD} with File ID: PL097445.D met criteria except for 4,4-DDD[31%], 4,4-DDE[27%], Aldrin[27%], alpha-BHC[24%], alpha-Chlordane[23%], beta-BHC[21%], delta-BHC[29%], Dieldrin[26%], Endosulfan II[21%], Endrin ketone[21%], Endrin[23%], gamma-BHC (Lindane)[78%], Heptachlor epoxide[23%] and Heptachlor[33%] due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PL097449.D met the requirements except for Endrin is failing in 2nd column but passing in first column therefore no corrective action taken.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

#### **F. Calculation for Concentration in Soil samples:**

$$\text{Concentration ug/Kg (Dry weight basis)} = \frac{(A_x) (V_t) (DF) (GPC)}{(CF) (V_i) (W_s) (D)}$$

Where,

A<sub>x</sub> = Response (peak area or height) of the compound to be measured.

CF = Mean Calibration Factor from the initial calibration (area/ng).

V<sub>t</sub> = Volume of the concentrated extract in uL

V<sub>i</sub> = Volume of extract injected (uL). (If a single injection is made onto two columns, use ½ the volume in the syringe as the volume injected onto each column).

W<sub>s</sub> = Weight of sample extracted (g).

D =  $\frac{\% \text{ dry weight or } 100 - \% \text{ Moisture}}{100}$

GPC =  $\frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}}$  = GPC factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)

V<sub>in</sub> = Volume of extract loaded onto GPC column.

V<sub>out</sub> = Volume of extract collected after GPC cleanup.

DF = Dilution Factor



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092  
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

**G. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_



## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**  
**Project Name: RFP 916**  
**Project # N/A**  
**Order ID # Q3178**  
**Test Name: PCB**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB. This data package contains results for PCB.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD\_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD\_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PP075341.D met the requirements except for Decachlorobiphenyl is failing in 2nd column but passing in first column therefore no corrective action taken.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PP075347.D met the requirements except for Decachlorobiphenyl is failing in 2nd column but passing in first column therefore no corrective action taken.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092  
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

**E. Additional Comments:**

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

**F. Calculation for Concentration in Soil samples:**

$$\text{Concentration ug/Kg (Dry weight basis)} = \frac{(Ax) (Vt) (DF) (GPC)}{(CF) (Vi) (Ws) (D)}$$

Where,

Ax = Response (peak area or height) of the compound to be measured.

CF = Mean Calibration Factor from the initial calibration (area/ng).

Vt = Volume of the concentrated extract in uL

Vi = Volume of extract injected (uL). (If a single injection is made onto two columns, use ½ the volume in the syringe as the volume injected onto each column).

Ws = Weight of sample extracted (g).

D = % dry weight or  $\frac{100 - \% \text{Moisture}}{100}$

GPC =  $\frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}}$  = GPC factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)

DF = Dilution Factor

**G. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**  
**Project Name: RFP 916**  
**Project # N/A**  
**Order ID # Q3178**  
**Test Name: EPH**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: EPH. This data package contains results for EPH.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analysis were performed on instrument FID\_C. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analyses were performed on instrument FID\_D. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analysis were performed on instrument FID\_G. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis were performed on instrument FID\_F. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of EPHs was based on method NJEPH and extraction was done based on method 3541.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

### **E. Additional Comments:**

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

### **F. Calculation for Concentration in Soil Samples:**

$$C \text{ (ug/g)} = \frac{(A) (D) (V_e)}{CF (S)}$$



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092  
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

Where:

C = Concentration of each compound or hydrocarbon range, ug/g (dry weight basis)

A = Area response of each compound or carbon range to be measured

D = Dilution Factor

Ve = Final volume of extract, uL

CF = Calibration factor of each compound or carbon range for each fraction

S = Dry sample weight, mg

Total EPH concentration = Total of 4 Aromatic Carbon Ranges and 4 Aliphatic Carbon Ranges.

**G. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**

**Project Name: RFP 916**

**Project # N/A**

**Order ID # Q3178**

**Test Name: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL. This data package contains results for Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike (EME-TS14-01MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (EME-TS14-01MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

### **E. Additional Comments:**

The Post Digest Spike (EME-TS14-01A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Silver due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

---



**Calculation for ICP-AES Soil Sample:**

Conversion of Results from mg/L or ppm to mg/kg (Dry Weight Basis):

$$\text{Concentration (mg/kg)} = C \times \frac{Vf}{W \times S} \times DF$$

**Calculation for Hg Soil Sample:**

Conversion of Results from  $\mu\text{g/L}$  or ppb to mg/kg :

$$\text{Concentration (mg/kg)} = C \times \frac{Vf}{W \times S} \times DF / 1000$$

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**

**Project Name: RFP 916**

**Project # N/A**

**Order ID # Q3178**

**Test Name: Cyanide**

### **A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:**

3 Solid samples were received on 09/23/2025.

### **B. Parameters:**

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Cyanide. This data package contains results for Cyanide.

### **C. Analytical Techniques:**

The analysis of Cyanide was based on method 9012B.

### **D. QA/ QC Samples:**

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

### **E. Additional Comments:**

#### **Calculation for CN Soil Sample:**

Conversion of Results from  $\mu\text{g/L}$  or  $\text{ppb}$  to  $\text{mg/kg}$ :

$$\text{Concentration (mg/kg)} = C \times \frac{V_f}{W \times S} \times \text{DF} / 1000$$

---

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “ Results Qualifiers” are used:

<b>J</b>	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
<b>U</b>	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
<b>ND</b>	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
<b>E</b>	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
<b>M</b>	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
<b>N</b>	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
<b>S</b>	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
<b>*</b>	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
<b>+</b>	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
<b>D</b>	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
<b>M</b>	Method qualifiers “P” for ICP instrument “PM” for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used “CV” for Manual Cold Vapor AA “AV” for automated Cold Vapor AA “CA” for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric “AS” for Semi -Automated Spectrophotometric “C” for Manual Spectrophotometric “T” for Titrimetric “NR” for analyte not required to be analyzed
<b>OR</b>	Indicates the analyte’s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
<b>Q</b>	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
<b>H</b>	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “ Results Qualifiers” are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. “10 U”. This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as “12 B”.
E	Indicates the analyte ‘s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a “P”.
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

## APPENDIX A

### QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3178

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

#### GENERAL:

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓

#### COVER PAGE:

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓

#### CHAIN OF CUSTODY:

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Custody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓

#### ANALYTICAL:

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

QA Review Signature: SHREENA PATEL

Date: 10/02/2025