

Cover Page

Order ID: Q3181

Project ID: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Client: ENTACT

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number Q3181-01 WC-A3-01-G Q3181-02 WC-A3-01-C Q3181-03 WC-A3-01-C Q3181-04 WC-A3-01-C Q3181-05 WC-A3-02-G Q3181-06 WC-A3-02-C Q3181-07 WC-A3-02-C Q3181-08 WC-A3-02-C Q3181-09 WC-A3-03-G Q3181-10 WC-A3-03-C Q3181-11 WC-A3-03-C WC-A3-03-C Q3181-12

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
oignature .)ate:	10/6/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



CASE NARRATIVE

ENTACT

Project Name: 540 Degraw St, Brooklyn, NY - E9309

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3181

Test Name: TCLP VOA,TCLP BNA,PCB,TCLP Herbicide,TCLP Pesticide,TCLP Mercury,TCLPMetals Group2,ASTM Ammonia,ASTM COD,ASTM Oil and Grease,ASTM TS,Corrosivity,Ignitability,Oil and Grease,Paint Filter,pH,Reactive

Cyanide, TS, TVS

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

12 Solid samples were received on 09/24/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP VOA,TCLP BNA,PCB,TCLP Herbicide,TCLP Pesticide,TCLP Mercury,TCLPMetals Group2,ASTM Ammonia,ASTM COD,ASTM Oil and Grease,ASTM TS,Corrosivity,Ignitability,Oil and Grease,Paint Filter,pH,Reactive Cyanide,TS,TVS. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA(8260D),TCLP BNA(8270E),PCB(8082A),TCLP Herbicide(8151A),TCLP Pesticide(8081B),TCLP Mercury(7470A),TCLPMetals Group2(6010D),ASTM Ammonia(SM4500-NH3),ASTM COD(SM5220 D),ASTM Oil and Grease(1664A),ASTM TS(SM2540 B),Corrosivity(9045D),Ignitability(1030),Oil and Grease(9071B),Paint Filter(9095B),pH(9045D),Reactive Cyanide(9012B),TS(SM2540 B),TVS(160.4).

C. Analytical Techniques:

TCLP VOA: The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

TCLP BNA: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_G using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_P using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of TCLP BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

PCB: The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear



column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

TCLP Herbicide: The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_S. The front column is RTX-CLPesticides which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 11139. The rear column is RTX-CLPesticides2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 11324. The analysis of TCLP Herbicides was based on method 8151A and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

TCLP Pesticide: The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of TCLP Pesticides was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group2: The analysis of TCLPMetals Group2 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

Wetchem: The analysis of ASTM Ammonia, ASTM COD, ASTM Oil and Grease, ASTM TS, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Oil and Grease, Paint Filter, pH, Reactive Cyanide, TS, TVS was based on method 1030, 160.4, 1664A, 9012B, 9045D, 9071B, 9095B, SM2540 B, SM4500-NH3, SM5220 D and extraction was done based on method 8015B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following Wetchem: WC-A3-01-C of pH, for WC-A3-01-C of Corrosivity, for WC-A3-02-C of pH, for WC-A3-02-C of Corrosivity, for WC-A3-03-C of pH and for WC-A3-03-C of Corrosivity. As these samples are received out of hold.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following TCLP Herbicide: The MS {Q3181-03MS} with File ID: PS031872.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(1)60%]-This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria. And [2,4-D(1)67% - 2,4-D(2)66%]-These compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.



TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group2: The Matrix Spike (SU-ESM-COMP-02MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium, Zinc due to sample matrix interference.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following TCLP BNA: The MSD {Q3181-03MSD} with File ID: BG064464.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 1,4-Dichlorobenzene[68%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

TCLP Herbicide: The MSD {Q3181-03MSD} with File ID: PS031873.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(1)60%]-This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria. and [2,4-D(1)68% - 2,4-D(2)66%]-These compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The RPD recoveries met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds. The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements except following PCB: The Continuous Calibration File ID PP075367.D met the requirements except for Aroclor-1260(Peak-04) is failing in 2nd column, however it is passed in 1st column therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compoundsexcept following TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group2: The Duplicate (SU-ESM-COMP-02MSD) analysis met criteria for all samples except for Barium and Zinc due to matrix interference

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

As per special requirement for this project form-1 and Hit Summary are reported in mg/k for soil samples.

As per special requirement for this project form-1 and Hit Summary are reported in mg/l for water samples.



TCLP Mercury, TCLPMetals Group 2:

The Post Digest Spike (SU-ESM-COMP-02A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

TCLP VOA: Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data. Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

~ ·			
Signature_			
orginature -			



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value			
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.			
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected			
J B	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". 			
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.			
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.			
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".			
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.			
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.			
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements			





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3181

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: PRADIP PRAJAPATI Date: 10/06/2025