

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID:	Q3321
-----------	-------

Project ID: RFP 918

Client: Weston Solutions, Inc.

Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number

Q3321-01 P001-DS-01 Q3321-02 P001-DS-01

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	Date:	10/24/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Calculation:

Low Level Soil Calculation in ug/Kg dry weight basis

 $(A \times)(I \times)(Df)$

(Ais) (RRF)(Ws)(D)

Where

Ax = Area for the compound to be measured

Ais = Area for the specific internal standard

Is = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

RRF = Relative response factor of the initial calibration curve standard.

Df = Dilution factor



Ws= Weight of sample $D = \frac{100 - \% \text{ moisture}}{100}$

F. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

G. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_					
Signature					



Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: TCLP VOA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP VOA. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UI. The analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID VX048126.D met the requirements except for Vinyl Chloride is failing high but no positive hit in associate sample therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Calculation:

Water Calculation in ug/L

(A x)(I s) (Df) (Ais) (RRF)(V0) Where,



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

Ax = Area for the compound to be measured

Ais = Area for the specific internal standard

Is = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

RRF = Relative response factor of the initial calibration curve standard.

Vo = Volume of water purged in milliliters (mL)

Df = Dilution factor.

F. Additional Comments:

Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

G. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	





Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: SVOC-TCL BNA -20

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: SVOC-TCL BNA -20. This data package contains results for SVOC-TCL BNA -20.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_G using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The RPD for {Q3310-01MSD} with File ID: BF143929.D met criteria except for 2,4-Dinitrophenol[44%], 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol[49%] and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[21%], RPD failed due to result difference between MS and MSD, Therefor no further corrective action was taken.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration (Method 8270-BF100625.M) for 2,4-Dinitrophenol this Compounds is passing on Linear regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.





E. Calculation Concentration ug/Kg,

(dry weight basis) = (Ax) (Is) (Vt) (DF) (GPC) (Ais) (RRF) (Vi) (Wt) (D)

Where,

Ax = Area of the characteristic ion for the compound to be measured.

Ais = Area of the characteristic ion for the internal standard.

Is = Amount of internal standard injected in ng.

Vi = Volume of extract injected in microliters (uL)

Vt = Volume of concentrated extract in microliters (uL)

Wt = Weight of the original sample extracted in g

Df = Dilution factor

RRF = Mean Relative Response Factor determined from the initial calibration standard.

GPC = Vin = GPC factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)

Vout = Volume of extract collected after GPC cleanup.

D= 100 - %moisture

100

F. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

G. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature		





Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: TCLP BNA

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP BNA. This data package contains results for TCLP BNA.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_G using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of TCLP BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q3321-02MS} with File ID: BG064503.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol[120%], 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol[118%] and Hexachlorobenzene[116%], due to matrix interference due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q3321-02MSD} with File ID: BG064504.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol[116%], due to matrix interference due to matrix interference.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.



E. Additional Comments:

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Concentration of Water Sample:

Concentration ug/L =
$$(Ax) (Is) (Vt) (DF) (GPC)$$

(Ais) (RRF) (Vo) (Vi)

Where,

Ax = Area of the characteristic ion for the compound to be measured.

Ais = Area of the characteristic ion for the internal standard.

Is = Amount of internal standard injected in ng.

Vo = Volume of water extracted in mL.

Vi = Volume of extract injected in uL.

Vt = Volume of the concentrated extract in uL

RRF = Mean Relative Response Factor determined from the initial calibration standard.

GPC = Vin = GPC factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)

Vout

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature _.			



Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: Pesticide-TCL

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Pesticide-TCL. This data package contains results for Pesticide-TCL.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_L. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of Pesticide-TCLs was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q3310-01MS} with File ID: PL097602.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [Endrin ketone(2)51%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q3310-01MSD} with File ID: PL097603.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [Endrin ketone(2)53%] due to matrix interference.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

F. Calculation for Concentration in Soil samples:

Concentration ug/Kg (Dry weight basis) = $\underline{(Ax) (Vt) (DF) (GPC)}$ (CF) (Vi) (Ws) (D)





Where,

Ax = Response (peak area or height) of the compound to be measured.

CF = Mean Calibration Factor from the initial calibration (area/ng).

Vt = Volume of the concentrated extract in uL

Vi = Volume of extract injected (uL). (If a single injection is made onto two columns,

use ½ the volume in the syringe as the volume injected onto each column).

Ws = Weight of sample extracted (g).

D = % dry weight or <u>100 - %Moisture</u>

100

 $GPC = \underline{Vin} = GPC \text{ factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)}$ Vout

Vin = Volume of extract loaded onto GPC column.

Vout = Volume of extract collected after GPC cleanup.

DF = Dilution Factor

G. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature		



Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: TCLP Pesticide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP Pesticide. This data package contains results for TCLP Pesticide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of TCLP Pesticides was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

F. Calculation for water sample:

Concentration ug/L = (Ax) (Vt) (DF) (GPC)(CF) (Vo) (Vi)

Where,

Ax = Response (peak area or height) of the compound to be measured.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CF = Mean Calibration Factor from the initial calibration (area/ng).

Vo = Volume of water extracted in mL

Vt = Volume of the concentrated extract in uL

Vi = Volume of extract injected (uL).

 $GPC = \underline{Vin} = GPC \text{ factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)}$

Vout

Vin = Volume of extract loaded onto GPC column.

Vout = Volume of extract collected after GPC cleanup

DF = Dilution Factor

G. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature		
Signature		





Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321 Test Name: PCB

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: PCB. This data package contains results for PCB.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The RPD for {Q3310-02MSD} with File ID: PP075717.D met criteria except for [AR1260(1)-27%],[AR1260(2)-18%] due to difference in results of MS-MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PP075704.D met the requirements except for Tetrachloro-m-xylene is failing in 2nd column however it is passing in 1st column therefore no corrective action taken.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.



F. Calculation for Concentration in Soil samples:

Concentration ug/Kg (Dry weight basis) = (Ax) (Vt) (DF) (GPC)(CF) (Vi) (Ws) (D)

Where.

Ax = Response (peak area or height) of the compound to be measured.

CF = Mean Calibration Factor from the initial calibration (area/ng).

Vt = Volume of the concentrated extract in uL

Vi = Volume of extract injected (uL). (If a single injection is made onto two columns, use ½ the volume in the syringe as the volume injected onto each column).

Ws = Weight of sample extracted (g).

D = % dry weight or 100 - % Moisture

100

 $GPC = \underline{Vin} = GPC \text{ factor (If no GPC is performed, GPC=1)}$ Vout

Vin = Volume of extract loaded onto GPC column.

Vout = Volume of extract collected after GPC cleanup.

DF = Dilution Factor

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature	
-----------	--





Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: TCLP Herbicide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP Herbicide. This data package contains results for TCLP Herbicide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_S. The front column is RTX-CLPesticides which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 11139. The rear column is RTX-CLPesticides2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 11324. The analysis of TCLP Herbicides was based on method 8151A and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except for P001-DS-01 [2,4-DCAA(1)52%, 2,4-DCAA(2)56%],P001-DS-01RE [2,4-DCAA(1)50%, 2 and4-DCAA(2)55%] the failure samples in surrogates were reanalyzed to confirm the results as per method and reported in the data. P001-DS-01MS [2,4-DCAA(1)36%], P001-DS-01MSD [2,4-DCAA(1)36%] Surrogate failure for MS-MSD confirmed with original sample.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The MS {Q3321-02MS} with File ID: PS032019.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(1)50% - 2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(2)43%] and [2,4-D(1)0% - 2,4-D(2)0%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD {Q3321-02MSD} with File ID: PS032020.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(1)51% - 2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(2)43%] and [2,4-D(1)0% - 2,4-D(2)0%] due to matrix interference.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.



The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

2,4-D compound was undetected in MS-MSD associated with the sample. This indicates that the spiked compound was not recovered due to strong matrix interference affecting extraction, Due to matrix interference F flag coming in original sample as well as in MS-MSD.

F. Calculation for water sample:

$$ug/l = \underline{(Ax) (Vt) (MW)} X DF$$

 $(ICF) (Vi) (Vs)$

Where:

Ax = Area for the parameter to be measured.

ICF = average calibration factor for the calibration standards.

Vt = Volume of total extract in uL (Take into account dilutions)

Is = Amount of standard injected in nanograms (ng)

Vi = Volume of extract injected.

Vs = Volume of Aqueous extracted (mL).

MW = molecular weight of the compound

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

Signature_			
Signature			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL. This data package contains results for Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

Sample P001-DS-01 was diluted due to high concentrations for Copper, Iron and Silver.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike (OR-02-100925MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony and Potassium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion process.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (OR-02-100925MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Copper, Potassium and Sodium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion process.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met criteria for all compounds.

E. Additional Comments:

The Post Digest Spike (OR-02-100925A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Copper, Potassium and Sodium due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

Sample Q3321-01 was oversaturated for Silver parameter so, Silver reported from its 5X Dilution.



Calculation for ICP-AES Soil Sample:

Conversion of Results from mg/L or ppm to mg/kg (Dry Weight Basis):

Concentration (mg/kg) =
$$\frac{C \times Vf}{W \times S}$$
 x DF

Where,

C = Instrument value in ppm (The average of all replicate exposures)

Vf = Final digestion volume (mL)

W = Initial aliquot amount (g) (Sample amount taken in prep)

S = % Solids / 100 (Fraction of Percent Solids)

DF = Dilution Factor

Calculation for Hg Soil Sample:

Conversion of Results from µg /L or ppb to mg/kg:

Concentration (mg/kg) =
$$\frac{C \times Vf}{W \times S} \times DF/1000$$

Where,

 $C = Instrument response in \mu g/L from the calibration curve.$

Vf = Final prepared (absorbing solution) volume (mL)

W = Initial aliquot amount (g) (Fraction of Sample amount taken in prep)

S = % Solids / 100 (Fraction of Percent Solids)

DF = Dilution Factor

Signature				
Signature	 			



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321

Test Name: TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury. This data package contains results for TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Calculation for TCLP Sample:

Concentration or Result (
$$\mu$$
g/L) = $C \times \frac{Vf}{Vi} \times DF \times 1000$

Where,

C = Instrument value in ppm (The average of all replicate exposures)

Vf = Final digestion volume (mL)

Vi = Initial aliquot amount (mL) (Sample amount taken in prep)

DF = Dilution Factor



Calculation for TCLP HG:

Concentration or Result (μ g/L) = C x $\frac{Vf}{Vi}$ x DF

Where,

C = Instrument value in ppb

Vf = Final digestion volume (mL)

Vi = Initial aliquot amount (mL) (Sample amount taken in prep)

DF = Dilution Factor

Signature		
Signature		



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092 Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Weston Solutions, Inc. Project Name: RFP 918

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3321 Test Name: Cyanide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

1 Solid sample was received on 10/09/2025.

B. Parameters:

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Cyanide. This data package contains results for Cyanide.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis of Cyanide was based on method 9012B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Calibration met the requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

Calculation for CN Soil Sample:

Concentration or Result (
$$\mu$$
g/L) = $\frac{C \times Vf \times DF}{1000}$

Where,

C = Instrument response in μ g/L CN from the calibration curve.

Vf = Final prepared (absorbing solution) volume (mL)

W = Initial aliquot amount (g) (Fraction of Sample amount taken in prep)

S = % Solids / 100 (Fraction of Percent Solids)

DF = Dilution Factor



Signature		



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M OR	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value	
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.	
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected	
J B	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". 	
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.	
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.	
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".	
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.	
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.	
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements	





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3321

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	✓
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	✓
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	✓
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	✓
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	✓
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	✓
Was client requirement followed?	✓
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date: 10/24/2025