DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	G Environmental					
Projec	t Location :	NJ	Project Number :						
Laboratory Sample ID(s): Q3494 Sampling Date(s): 10/29/2025									
List DI	List DKQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) 8260D,8270-Modified,8270E,SOP								
1	specified QA/C explain any crit	tical method referenced in this labora C performance criteria followed, includeria falling outside of acceptable guid f Known Quality performance standar	uding the requirement to delines, as specified in the		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the meth	od specified handling, preservation, a	and holding time requirer	ments met?	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted with respective DKQ methods)	out significant modification	ons (see		Yes		No	✓ N/A
2		es received by the laboratory in a corne associated chain-of-custody docur		at	V	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temperatu	re (4±2° C)?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Qe standards ach	C performance criteria specified in the nieved?	e NJDEP DKQP			Yes	V	No	
5		ng limits specified or referenced on the to the laboratory prior to sample received.			\square	Yes		No	
	b)Were these r	reporting limits met?			V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	tical method referenced in this laborated for all constituents identified in the e DKQP documents and/or site-spec	method-specific analyte		Ø	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	ecific matrix spikes and/or laboratory	duplicates included in th	is data set?	V	Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

Cover Page

Order ID :	Q3494				
Project ID:	Communipaw				
Client :	G Environmental				
Lab Sampl	e Number	Client Sample Numbe	r		
Q3494-01 Q3494-02		MW3 Field			
I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.					
Signature :		Date:	11/10/2025		

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

G Environmental

Project Name: Communipaw

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3494

Test Name: VOCMS Group1,SVOCMS Group2,SVOC-SIMGroup1

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Water samples were received on 10/30/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOCMS Group1,SVOCMS Group2,SVOC-SIMGroup1. This data package contains results for VOCMS Group1(8260-Low),SVOCMS Group2(8270E),SVOC-SIMGroup1(8270-Modified).

C. Analytical Techniques:

VOCMS Group1: The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UI. The analysis of VOCMS Group1 was based on method 8260-Low.

SVOC-SIMGroup1: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_N using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of SVOC-SIMGroup1 was based on method 8270-Modified and extraction was done based on method 3510.

SVOCMS Group2: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_P using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of SVOCMS Group2 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The RPD were met for all analysis except following

SVOC-SIMGroup1 : The RPD for {PB170381BSD} with File ID: BN038133.D met criteria except for Benzo(a)anthracene[22%], Benzo(a)pyrene[26%],

Benzo(b)fluoranthene[24%] and Benzo(g,h,i)perylene[34%]. RPD failed due to result diffrance between BS and BSD, Therefor no further corrective action was taken.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds except following SVOCMS Group2: The Blank Spike for {PB170346BS} with File ID: BP026057.D met requirements for all compounds except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[66%], 3-Nitroaniline[67%] and 4-Chloroaniline[52%]. this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds except following SVOCMS Group2: The Blank Spike Duplicate for {PB170346BSD} with File ID: BP026058.D met requirements for all compounds except for 3-Nitroaniline[67%], 4-Chloroaniline[50%]. this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the inhouse criteria.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements except following SVOCMS Group2: The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Initial Calibration (Method 8270-BP102925.M) for 26) 2-Nitroaniline, 2,6-Dinitrotoluene, 3-Nitroaniline, 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, Butylbenzylphthalate, Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, These Compounds are passing on Linear regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements except following VOCMS Group1: The Continuous Calibration File ID VX048445.D met the requirements except for Dibromofluoromethane, which is not our target compound, therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

SEMI-VOA: The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

VOCMS Group1 : Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Si	gnature	;			



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value					
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.					
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected					
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B". 					
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.					
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.					
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".					
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.					
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.					
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements					





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3494

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	11/10/2025
Q'i iterien bignature.	SOMEOGRAM	Date.	11/10/2020