

DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Laboratory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC Client : RTP Environmental
 Project Location : _____ Project Number : _____
 Laboratory Sample ID(s) : Q3555 Sampling Date(s) : 11/05/2025
 List DKQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) **TO-15**

1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standards?	☒ Yes ☐ No
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?	☒ Yes ☐ No
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved?	☒ Yes ☐ No
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody or communicated to the laboratory prior to sample receipt? b)Were these reporting limits met?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specific QAPP?	☒ Yes ☐ No
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory duplicates included in this data set?	☒ Yes ☐ No

Notes: For all questions to which the response was “No” (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is “No”, the data package does not meet the requirements for “Data of Known Quality.”

Cover Page

Order ID : Q3555

Project ID : 151 JUNIPER DRIVE

Client : RTP Environmental

Lab Sample Number

Q3555-01
Q3555-02
Q3555-03
Q3555-04

Client Sample Number

151-IA-1
151-IA-2
151-SG-1
151-SG-2

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : _____

Date: 11/12/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012

CASE NARRATIVE

RTP Environmental

Project Name: 151 JUNIPER DRIVE

Project # N/A

Order ID # Q3555

Test Name: TO-15

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Air samples were received on 11/05/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TO-15. This data package contains results for TO-15.

C. Analytical Techniques:

The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_L were done using GC column RTX-1, which is 60 meters, 0.32 mm id, 1.0 um df, Restek Cat. #10157. The Trap was supplied by Entech, glass bead and Tenax , Entech 7100A Preconcentrator. The analysis of TO-15 was based on method TO-15.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis.

The Retention Times were met for all analysis.

The RPD were met for all analysis.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Due to potential high concentration of target analytes, Samples 151-SG-1, 151-SG-2 were initially diluted.

Samples 151-SG-1, 151-SG-2 were diluted due to high concentrations.

E. Additional Comments:

The Sample #151-IA-1, 151-IA-2, 151-SG-1, 151-SG-2 have the concentration of target compound below Method detection limits, therefore it is not reported as Hit in Form1.

The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 07092
Phone: 908 789 8900 Fax: 908 789 8922

The not QT review data is reported in the Miscellaneous.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
E	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3555

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

GENERAL:

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓

COVER PAGE:

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓

CHAIN OF CUSTODY:

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Custody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓

ANALYTICAL:

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI

Date: 11/12/2025