DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC		Client :	Roman E&G Co	orp				
Projec	t Location:	New Brunswick		Project Number :	25-717					
Labora	atory Sample ID	(s): Q3604		Sampling Date(s):	11/10/2025					
List DI	KQP Methods U	sed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra)	,1030,1 8260D,	311,1311 ZHE, 6010 8270E,9012B,9034,	DD,7470A,8081B 9045D,NJEPH	,8082	A,815	1 A ,		
1	specified QA/C explain any crit	rtical method referenced in this I QC performance criteria followed teria falling outside of acceptable f Known Quality performance st	, including e guidelin	g the requirement to es, as specified in the		7	Yes		No	
1A	Were the meth	od specified handling, preserva	tion, and I	holding time requirer	nents met?		Yes	\checkmark	No	
1B		Was the EPH method conducted frespective DKQ methods)	l without s	significant modification	ons (see	V	Yes		No	□ N/A
2		les received by the laboratory in ne associated chain-of-custody			at	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temp	erature (4	4±2° C)?			Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/Qe standards ach	C performance criteria specified nieved?	in the NJ	JDEP DKQP			Yes	V	No	
5		ng limits specified or referenced to the laboratory prior to sample				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes		No	
	b)Were these r	reporting limits met?				V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reporte	rtical method referenced in this I ed for all constituents identified i se DKQP documents and/or site	n the met	hod-specific analyte		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spe	ecific matrix spikes and/or labora	atory dupl	icates included in thi	s data set?		Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

Cover Page

Order ID: Q3604

Project ID: MCUA - New Brunswick

Client: Roman E&G Corp

Client Sample Number			
S-1			
S-2			
S-1			
S-2			

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
0.5	 Date:	12/9/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



CASE NARRATIVE

Roman E&G Corp

Project Name: MCUA - New Brunswick

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3604

Test Name: TCLP VOA, VOC-TCLVOA-10, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP

BNA, EPH NF, PCB, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP

Mercury, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 11/10/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: TCLP VOA, VOC-TCLVOA-10, SVOC-TCL BNA -20, TCLP BNA, EPH_NF, PCB, TCLP Herbicide, TCLP Pesticide, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP

Mercury, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide. This data package contains results for TCLP VOA(8260D), VOC-TCLVOA-10(8260D), SVOC-TCL BNA - 20(8270E), TCLP BNA(8270E), EPH_NF(NJEPH), PCB(8082A), TCLP Herbicide(8151A), TCLP Pesticide(8081B), TCLP ICP Metals(6010D), TCLP Mercury(7470A), Corrosivity(9045D), Ignitability(1030), Reactive Cyanide(9012B), Reactive Sulfide(9034).

C. Analytical Techniques:

TCLP VOA: The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_X were done using GC column DB-624UI 20m 0.18mm 1.0 um. Cat#121-1324UI. The analysis of TCLP VOA was based on method 8260D and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

VOC-TCLVOA-10: The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_W were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

TCLP BNA: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The analysis of TCLP BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.



SVOC-TCL BNA -20: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_P using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

PCB : The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

TCLP Pesticide: The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11. The analysis of TCLP Pesticides was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

EPH_NF: The analysis were performed on instrument FID_E. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analysis were performed on instrument FID_G. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of EPH_NFs was based on method NJEPH and extraction was done based on method 3541.

TCLP Herbicide: The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_S. The front column is RTX-CLPesticides which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0. 5 um df,: Catalog # 11139. The rear column is RTX-CLPesticides2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 11324. The analysis of TCLP Herbicides was based on method 8151A and extraction was done based on method 3510 and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311

Wetchem: The analysis of Corrosivity, Ignitability, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide was based on method 1030,9012B,9034,9045D and extraction was done based on method 8015B.



D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following VOC-TCLVOA-10: The Holding Times were met for all analysis except for S-1 and S-2 as activated later.

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20: The Holding Times were met for all analysis except for S-1 and S-2 as activated later.

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following Wetchem: S-1 of Corrosivity and for S-2 of Corrosivity as samples were receive out of holding time.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except following VOC-TCLVOA-10: S-1 [1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 - 136%], S-2 [1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 - 141%] these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except following TCLP Herbicide: PB170516BL [2,4-DCAA(2)48%], PB170493TB [2,4-DCAA(2)48%]S-1MSD [2,4-DCAA(1)67%], S-2 [2 and4-DCAA(1)68%]. These compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements. The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples. The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20: The MS {Q3719-10MS} with File ID: BP026201.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[63%], 3-Nitroaniline[58%] and 4-Chloroaniline[40%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

TCLP Herbicide: The MS {Q3604-01MS} with File ID: PS032388.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(1)51% - 2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(2)50%] and [2,4-D(1)45% - 2,4-D(2)47%]. These compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and in-house criteria, due to matrix interference.

TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The Matrix Spike (S-2MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.



The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20: The MSD {Q3719-10MSD} with File ID: BP026202.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[68%], 3-Nitroaniline[53%] and 4-Chloroaniline[42%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

TCLP Herbicide: The MSD {Q3604-01MSD} with File ID: PS032389.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(1)51%] and [2,4-D(1)45% - 2,4-D(2)56%]. These compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria and inhouse criteria, due to matrix interference.

TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The Matrix Spike Duplicate (S-2MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The RPD were met for all analysis except following TCLP Herbicide: The RPD for {Q3604-01MSD} with File ID: PS032389.D met criteria except for [2,4,5-TP(Silvex)(2)-41%]. Due to difference in MS and MSD concentrations.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20: The Blank Spike for {PB170735BS} with File ID: BF144360.D met requirements for all compounds except for 3-Nitroaniline[65%], 4-Chloroaniline[51%]. This compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the inhouse criteria.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination. The Initial Calibration met the requirements except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20: The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Method 8270-BF110525.M for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene is passing on Quadratic regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements except following VOC-TCLVOA-10: The Continuous Calibration File ID VW032536.D met the requirements except for 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane,1,2-Dichloroethane,Carbon Tetrachloride and Methyl Acetate are failing high but no positive hit in associate sample therefore no corrective action taken.



TCLP BNA: The Continuous Calibration File ID BF144230.D met the requirements except for Pyridine. Failing high but associated samples have no positive hit for this compound therefore noc orrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples. The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis. The temperature of the samples at the time of receipt was 15.7°C.

VOC-TCLVOA-10: Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The Post Digest Spike (S-2A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

TCLP VOA: Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data. Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature		
_		



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M OR	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi – Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





Fax: 908 789 8922

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3604

	Completed
Earthonough various the various they after following.	
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	' ' ' ' '
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u>✓</u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u>*</u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	✓
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	✓ ✓ ✓
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	

QA Review Signature: KORI AMARNATH Date: 12/09/2025