

DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Laboratory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC Client : HDR, Inc.

Project Location : Clifton, NJ Project Number : 10367568

Laboratory Sample ID(s) : Q3649 Sampling Date(s) : 11/14/2025

List DKQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) **,1030,1311,6010D,7196A,7470A,7471B,8081B,8082A,8260D,8270E,9012B,9034,9045D,9095B,NJEPH,SMO,SOP**

1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standards?	☒ Yes ☐ No
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?	☐ Yes ☒ No
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4±2° C)?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJDEP DKQP standards achieved?	☐ Yes ☒ No
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody or communicated to the laboratory prior to sample receipt? b)Were these reporting limits met?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specific QAPP?	☒ Yes ☐ No
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory duplicates included in this data set?	☐ Yes ☒ No

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."

Cover Page

Order ID : Q3649

Project ID : PVWC Linear Construction

Client : HDR, Inc.

Lab Sample Number

Q3649-01
Q3649-02
Q3649-03
Q3649-04
Q3649-05
Q3649-06
Q3649-07
Q3649-08
Q3649-09

Client Sample Number

B5-0.0-0.5-20251114
B5-0.5-1.0-20251114
DUPE-0.0-0.5-20251114
DUPE-0.5-1.0-20251114
B4-0.05-1.0-20251114
B4-0.0-0.5-20251114
B4-0.0-0.5-20251114
B3-0.0-0.5-20251114
B3-0.5-1.0-20251114

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : _____

Date: 11/26/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

HDR, Inc.

Project Name: PVWC Linear Construction

Project # N/A

Order ID # Q3649

Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10,SVOC-TCL BNA -20,EPH_NF,PCB,Pesticide-TCL,Mercury,Metals ICP-TAL,TCLP ICP Metals,TCLP Mercury,Corrosivity,Cyanide,Hexavalent Chromium,Ignitability,Paint Filter,Reactive Cyanide,Reactive Sulfide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

9 Solid samples were received on 11/14/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10,SVOC-TCL BNA -20,EPH_NF,PCB,Pesticide-TCL,Mercury,Metals ICP-TAL,TCLP ICP Metals,TCLP Mercury,Corrosivity,Cyanide,Hexavalent Chromium,Ignitability,Paint Filter,Reactive Cyanide,Reactive Sulfide. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10(8260D),SVOC-TCL BNA -20(8270E), EPH_NF (NJEPH),PCB(8082A),Pesticide-TCL(8081B),Mercury(7471B),Metals ICP-TAL (6010D), TCLP ICP Metals(6010D),TCLP Mercury(7470A),Corrosivity(9045D), Cyanide (9012B),Hexavalent Chromium(7196A),Ignitability(1030),Paint Filter(9095B), Reactive Cyanide(9012B),Reactive Sulfide(9034).

C. Analytical Techniques:

VOC-TCLVOA-10 : The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_W were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

SVOC-TCL BNA -20 : The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_G using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA -20 was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

Pesticide-TCL : The analysis was performed on instrument ECD_D. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 um df, Catalog #: 7HMG017- 11.The analysis of Pesticide-TCLs was based on method 8081B and extraction was done based on method 3541.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

EPH_NF : The analysis were performed on instrument FID_E. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analysis of EPH_NFs was based on method NJEPH and extraction was done based on method 3541.

PCB : The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL : The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury : The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

Wetchem : The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of Hexavalent Chromium was based on method 7196A, The analysis of Cyanide, Reactive Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of Reactive Sulfide was based on method 9034, The analysis of Corrosivity was based on method 9045D and The analysis of Paint Filter was based on method 9095B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following Wetchem : B4-0.0-0.5-20251114 of Corrosivity as samples was receive out of holding time.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20 : B5-0.0-0.5-20251114 [2,4,6-Tribromophenol - 25%], this compound did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except following PCB : TR-05-111325MS [Tetrachloro-m-xylene(1)164%, Tetrachloro-m-xylene(2)159%], TR-05-111325MSD [Tetrachloro-m-xylene(1)160% and Tetrachloro-m-xylene(2)152%]. These compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.
The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20 : The MS {Q3649-08MS} with File ID: BG064796.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[41%], 3-Nitroaniline[43%] and 4-Chloroaniline[24%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

EPH_NF : The MS {Q3649-06MS} with File ID: FE056885.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for Aliphatic [n-Tetracontane (C40)- 168%] due to matrix interference.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL : The Matrix Spike (B4-0.0-0.5-20251114MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Barium, Beryllium, Mercury, Selenium and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20 : The MSD {Q3649-08MSD} with File ID: BG064797.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[38%], 3-Nitroaniline[41%] and 4-Chloroaniline[21%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

EPH_NF : The MSD {Q3649-06MSD} with File ID: FE056886.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for Aliphatic [n-Tetracontane (C40)- 222%] due to matrix interference.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL : The Matrix Spike Duplicate (B4-0.0-0.5-20251114MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Barium, Selenium and Silver due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The RPD recoveries met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20 : The Blank Spike for {PB170576BS} with File ID: BF144265.D met requirements for all compounds except for 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine[65%], 3-Nitroaniline[59%] and 4-Chloroaniline[49%], these compounds did not meet the NJDKQP criteria but met the in-house criteria.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements except following SVOC-TCL BNA -20 : The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Method 8270-BF110525.M) for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, is passing on Quadratic regression.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

The % RSD is greater than 20% in the initial calibration (Method 8270-BG111225.M) for 2,4-Dinitrophenol, Pentachlorophenol, these compounds kept on Linear Regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.
The Tuning criteria met requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds..
The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

SEMI-VOA : The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 7 points.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL : The Post Digest Spike (B4-0.0-0.5-20251114A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Barium, Beryllium, Selenium and Silver due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

VOC-TCLVOA-10 : Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “ Results Qualifiers” are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers “P” for ICP instrument “PM” for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used “CV” for Manual Cold Vapor AA “AV” for automated Cold Vapor AA “CA” for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric “AS” for Semi -Automated Spectrophotometric “C” for Manual Spectrophotometric “T” for Titrimetric “NR” for analyte not required to be analyzed
OR	Indicates the analyte’s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
H	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “Results Qualifiers” are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. “10 U”. This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as “12 B”.
E	Indicates the analyte ‘s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a “P”.
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3649

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

GENERAL:

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓

COVER PAGE:

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓

CHAIN OF CUSTODY:

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Custody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓

ANALYTICAL:

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

QA Review Signature: MOHAMMAD AHMED

Date: 11/26/2025