

Cover Page

Order ID : Q3700

Project ID : PVSC Monthly 2025

Client : Ardmore Chemical

Lab Sample Number

Q3700-01
Q3700-02
Q3700-03
Q3700-04
Q3700-05
Q3700-06

Client Sample Number

EFF-WW
Q3700-01MS
Q3700-01MSD
EFF-WW
Q3700-04MS
Q3700-04MSD

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature : _____

Date: 12/2/2025



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

CASE NARRATIVE

Ardmore Chemical

Project Name: PVSC Monthly 2025

Project # N/A

Order ID # Q3700

**Test Name: VOC-PP,SVOCMS Group1,Mercury,Metals
Group3,BOD5,Cyanide,TSS**

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

6 Water samples were received on 11/20/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-PP,SVOCMS Group1,Mercury,Metals Group3,BOD5,Cyanide,TSS. This data package contains results for VOC-PP(624.1),SVOCMS Group1(625.1),Mercury(245.1),Metals Group3(200.7),BOD5(SM5210 B),Cyanide(SM4500-CN C,E),TSS(SM2540 D).

C. Analytical Techniques:

VOC-PP : The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_N were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-PP was based on method 624.1.

SVOCMS Group1 : The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The analysis of SVOCMS Group1 was based on method 625.1 and extraction was done based on method 3510.

Mercury,Metals Group3 : The analysis and digestion of Metals Group3 was based on 200.7 and The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on 245.1.

Wetchem : The analysis of BOD5,Cyanide,TSS was based on method SM2540 D,SM4500-CN C,E,SM5210 B and extraction was done based on method 8015B.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except following

SVOCMS Group1 : EFF-WW [2-Fluorophenol - 52%, Phenol-d6 - 36%], EFF-WWMS [2-Fluorophenol - 56%, Phenol-d6 - 41%], EFF-WWMSD [2-Fluorophenol - 58% and Phenol-d6 - 40%] failing low but confirm with MS/MSD due to matrix interference therefore no corrective action is needed.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following

VOC-PP : The MS {Q3700-02MS} with File ID: VN088360.D recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except for 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether[0%], Acrolein[164%] due to matrix interference.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD were met for all analysis except following

VOC-PP : The RPD for {Q3700-03MSD} with File ID: VN088367.D met criteria except for 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether[200%] due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

SVOCMS Group1 : The RPD for {Q3700-06MSD} with File ID: BF144354.D met criteria except for Benzidine[29%], due to difference in results of MS and MSD.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds except following

VOC-PP : The Blank Spike for {VN1125WBS01} with File ID: VN088354.D met requirements for all compounds except for Acrolein[160%] is failing high and associate sample having hit of Acrolein but below CRQL therefore no corrective action taken.

VOC-PP : The Blank Spike for {VN1126WBS01} with File ID: VN088363.D met requirements for all compounds except for Acrolein[150%] is failing high and associate QC passing within limit therefore no corrective action taken.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds except following

Samples EFF-WW was diluted due to foamy nature of sample

SVOCMS Group1 : The Blank Spike for {PB170730BS} with File ID: BF144350.D met requirements for all compounds except for Benzidine[9%]marginally failing low therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of labcontamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

VOC-PP : Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature_____

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following “ Results Qualifiers” are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers “P” for ICP instrument “PM” for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used “CV” for Manual Cold Vapor AA “AV” for automated Cold Vapor AA “CA” for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric “AS” for Semi -Automated Spectrophotometric “C” for Manual Spectrophotometric “T” for Titrimetric “NR” for analyte not required to be analyzed
OR	Indicates the analyte’s concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
H	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.
B	Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
E	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements

APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3700

Completed

For thorough review, the report must have the following:

GENERAL:

Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication,airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)

✓

Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples

✓

Is the chain of custody signed and complete

✓

Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts

✓

Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed

✓

COVER PAGE:

Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page

✓

Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody

✓

CHAIN OF CUSTODY:

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results

✓

Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page

✓

Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Custody

✓

Were the samples received within hold time

✓

Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle

✓

ANALYTICAL:

Was method requirement followed?

✓

Was client requirement followed?

✓

Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?

✓

All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements

✓

All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified

✓

QA Review Signature: MAYUR DESAI

Date: 12/02/2025