# DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name : Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	Europastry	
Projec	t Location : NJ	Project Number :	MCUA Permit N	o 14241 - 571 Jersey Ave NB
Labora	atory Sample ID(s) : Q3705	Sampling Date(s):	11/21/2025	
List D	KQP Methods Used (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) <b>1664A</b> ,	6010D,Sampling,S0	)P	
1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelin NJDEP Data of Known Quality performance standards?	g the requirement to		☑ Yes □ No
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?			✓ Yes □ No
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without s Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)	significant modificatio	ons (see	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition described on the associated chain-of-custody document		at	✓ Yes □ No
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (4	1±2° C)?		Yes No No N/A
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the NJ standards achieved?	DEP DKQP		✓ Yes □ No
5	a)Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the ch communicated to the laboratory prior to sample receipt?			✓ Yes □ No
	b)Were these reporting limits met?			✓ Yes □ No □ N/A
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory results reported for all constituents identified in the met presented in the DKQP documents and/or site-specific C	hod-specific analyte		✓ Yes □ No
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and/or laboratory dupl	icates included in thi	s data set?	☐ Yes ☑ No

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

## **Cover Page**

Order ID	:	Q3705
----------	---	-------

Project ID: MCUA Permit No 14241 - 571 Jersey Ave NB NJ

Client: Europastry

**Lab Sample Number** 

**Client Sample Number** 

Q3705-01 MH-11212025 Q3705-02 MH-11212025MS Q3705-03 MH-11212025MSD

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		
Signature .	 ate: 1	12/5/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

### **CASE NARRATIVE**

Europastry

Project Name: MCUA Permit No 14241 - 571 Jersey Ave NB NJ

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3705

Test Name: Metals Group3, Oil and Grease, TPH

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 11/21/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Metals Group3, Oil and Grease, TPH. This data package contains results for Metals Group3, Oil and Grease(1664A), TPH(1664A).

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

Metals Group3: The analysis of Metals Group3 was based on method 6010D and digestion based on method 3010 (waters).

Wetchem: The analysis of Oil and Grease, TPH was based on method 1664A.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis..

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The RPD recoveries met criteria.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

### **E. Additional Comments:**

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

a •		
Signature		
MISHAILIE		



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time





APPENDIX A

#### **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q3705

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	12/05/2025
----------------------	---------------	-------	------------