

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

<b>Order ID</b> : Q3739	Orc	ler	ID	:	Q3739	9
-------------------------	-----	-----	----	---	-------	---

Project ID: Diamond

**Client:** G Environmental

# Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number Q3739-01 GSB1A Q3739-02 GSB1B Q3739-03 GSB2A Q3739-04 GSB2B

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :			
Signature .	Date	: 1	12/6/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

# **CASE NARRATIVE**

G Environmental

**Project Name: Diamond** 

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3739

Test Name: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, Hexavalent Chromium

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

4 Solid samples were received on 11/26/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL, Hexavalent Chromium. This data package contains results for Mercury (7471B), Metals ICP-TAL (6010D), Hexavalent Chromium (7196A).

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

Wetchem: The analysis of Hexavalent Chromium was based on method 7196A and extraction was done based on method 8015B.

#### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The Matrix Spike (WC1MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Potassium, Vanadium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The Matrix Spike Duplicate (WC1MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Potassium due to Chemical Interference during Digestion Process.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: Sample GSB1B was diluted due to high concentrations for Chromium, Mercury.



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

Sample GSB2B was diluted due to high concentrations for Mercury.

Wetchem: Sample GSB1A was diluted due to high concentrations for Hexavalent Chromium & Sample GSB1B was diluted due to high concentrations for Hexavalent Chromium.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples. The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The Post Digest Spike (WC1A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Potassium, Vanadium due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

All samples and QC samples have very greenish type of digested sample, looks vey highly unknown contaminations and may be some type of organic interferences which can cause instrument failure for QC, due to suppression effect and could cause sample introduction issue and carryover problem. So straight 5X dilution analyzed and reported for samples.

## **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Jignature			
- 0	 	 	



# DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time





APPENDIX A

## **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q3739

	Completed
East the record provider. The report must have the following.	
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<del>\frac{}{}</del>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	12/06/2025
----------------------	---------------	-------	------------