

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Order ID :	Q3740		
Project ID:	Diamond		
Client :	G Environmental		
Lab Sampl	e Number	Client Sample Number	er
Q3740-01 Q3740-02		WC1 WC1	
	ge is in compliance with the terms and con han the conditions detailed above. Release		
	prized by the laboratory manager or his de		
Signature :		Date:	12/10/2025

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



## **CASE NARRATIVE**

**G** Environmental

**Project Name: Diamond** 

Project # N/A

**Order ID # Q3740** 

Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10,SVOC-TCL BNA,EPH NF,PCB,TCLP ICP

Metals, TCLP Mercury, Corrosivity, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, pH, Reactive

Cvanide, Reactive Sulfide

## A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

2 Solid samples were received on 11/26/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10,SVOC-TCL BNA,EPH NF,PCB,TCLP ICP Metals,TCLP Mercury, Corrosivity, Hexavalent Chromium, Ignitability, pH, Reactive Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10(8260D), SVOC-TCL BNA(8270E), EPH NF(NJEPH), PCB(8082A), TCLP ICP Metals (6010D), TCLP Mercury(7470A), Corrosivity(9045D), Hexavalent Chromium(7196A), Ignitability(1030), pH(9045D), Reactive Cyanide(9012B), Reactive

Sulfide(9034).

### C. Analytical Techniques:

VOC-TCLVOA-10: The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA\_Y were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868. The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

SVOC-TCL BNA: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA F using GC Column DB-UI 8270D which is 20 meters, 0.18 mm ID, 0.36 um df. The analysis of SVOC-TCL BNA was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

PCB: The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD. O. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

EPH NF: The analysis were performed on instrument FID E. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 10224. The analysis of EPH\_NFs was based on method NJEPH and extraction was done based on method 3541.



TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

Wetchem: The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of Hexavalent Chromium was based on method 7196A, The analysis of Reactive Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of Reactive Sulfide was based on method 9034 and The analysis of Corrosivity,pH was based on method 9045D.

### D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following Wetchem: WC1 of Corrosivity and pH as this sample received out of holding time.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis.

The Internal Standards Areas met the acceptable requirements.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The Matrix Spike (D1MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium. Due to matrix interference.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The Matrix Spike Duplicate (D1MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium. Due to matrix interference.

The RPD were met for all analysis except following SVOC-TCL BNA: The RPD for {Q3735-01MSD} with File ID: BF144393.D met criteria except for 2,4-Dinitrophenol[38%], Hexachlorocyclopentadiene[21%] due to difference in MS and MSD concentrations.

PCB : The RPD for {Q3732-01MSD} with File ID: PO115475.D met criteria except for AR1260[18%] due to difference in MSMSD concentrations.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements except following

SVOC-TCL BNA: The %RSD is greater than 20% in the Method 8270-BF110525.M for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, this compound is passing on Quadratic regression.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements except following VOC-TCLVOA-10: The Continuous Calibration File ID VY023829.D met the requirements except for 2-Hexanone is failing high but no positive hit in associate sample therefore no corrective action taken.



SVOC-TCL BNA: The Continuous Calibration File ID BF144382.D met the requirements except for Benzaldehyde. But associated samples have no positive hit for this compound therefore no corrective action was taken.

PCB: The Continuous Calibration File ID PO115479.D met the requirements except for Aroclor-1260(Peak-02) is failing in 2nd column, however it is passed in 1st column therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Continuous Calibration File ID PO115493.D met the requirements except for Aroclor-1016(Peak-04) is failing in 2nd column, however it is passed in 1st column therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

Wetchem: Sample WC1 was diluted due to high concentrations for Hexavalent Chromium.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

#### E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

SEMI-VOA: The Form 6 is not included in the data package because the Initial Calibration was performed using 8 points.

VOC-TCLVOA-10: Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data.

Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.

#### **F. Manual Integration Comments:**

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature			
Digilatale	 	 	





## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	<ul> <li>Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used:</li> <li>(1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.)</li> <li>(2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others.</li> <li>Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".</li> </ul>
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





APPENDIX A

## **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

**Project #: Q3740** 

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	✓
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<b>→</b>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u>✓</u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<del>'</del> <del>'</del> <del>'</del> <del>'</del>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<u> </u>
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u>'</u> <u>'</u> <u>'</u> _'
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	12/10/2025
----------------------	---------------	-------	------------