DATA OF KNOWN QUALITY CONFORMANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY QUESTIONNAIRE

Labora	atory Name :	Alliance Technical Group LLC	Client :	G Environmental					
Project	t Location :		Project Number :	- Lexington					
Labora	atory Sample ID(s	s): Q3784	Sampling Date(s):	2/04/2025					
List Dk	(QP Methods Us	ed (e.g., 8260,8270, et Cetra) 82	260D,SOP						
1	specified QA/Q0 explain any crite	ical method referenced in this laborate C performance criteria followed, includeria falling outside of acceptable guide Known Quality performance standard	ling the requirement to elines, as specified in the		V	Yes		No	
1A	Were the method specified handling, preservation, and holding time requirements met?					Yes		No	
1B	EPH Method: Was the EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see Section 11.3 of respective DKQ methods)					Yes		No	☑ N/A
2		es received by the laboratory in a conc e associated chain-of-custody docume			V	Yes		No	
3	Were samples	received at an appropriate temperatur	re (4±2° C)?		V	Yes		No	□ N/A
4	Were all QA/QC standards achie	performance criteria specified in the eved?	NJDEP DKQP			Yes	V	No	
5		g limits specified or referenced on the to the laboratory prior to sample receip			V	Yes		No	
	b)Were these re	eporting limits met?			V	Yes		No	□ N/A
6	results reported	ical method referenced in this laborated for all constituents identified in the metape DKQP documents and/or site-specific	ethod-specific analyte lists		V	Yes		No	
7	Are project-spec	cific matrix spikes and/or laboratory du	uplicates included in this da	ta set?	V	Yes		No	

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information should be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Data of Known Quality."





APPENDIX A

QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

Project #: Q3784

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u>✓</u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>✓</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	✓
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	✓
Was client requirement followed?	_
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	_
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	'
	

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	12/15/2025
----------------------	---------------	-------	------------