Cover Page

Order ID: Q3790

Project ID: MTA 26 Stations - Pierrepont

Client: Tully Construction Co., Inc.

Lab Sample Number

Client Sample Number

Q3790-01	304 FURMAN SOIL
Q3790-02	304 FURMAN SOIL
Q3790-03	304 FURMAN SOIL
Q3790-04	304 FURMAN SOIL-TPH-1
Q3790-05	304 FURMAN SOIL-TPH-2
Q3790-06	304 FURMAN SOIL-TPH-3

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :	 Date:	12/15/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



CASE NARRATIVE

Tully Construction Co., Inc.

Project Name: MTA 26 Stations - Pierrepont

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3790

Test Name: VOC-TCLVOA-10,SVOC-PAH,PCB,TPH GC,Mercury,Metals ICP-

TAL, TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury, Corrosivity, Ignitability, Reactive

Cyanide, Reactive Sulfide

A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

6 Solid samples were received on 12/05/2025.

B. Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: VOC-TCLVOA-10,SVOC-PAH,PCB,TPH GC,Mercury,Metals ICP-TAL,TCLP ICP Metals,TCLP Mercury,Corrosivity,Ignitability,Reactive Cyanide,Reactive Sulfide. This data package contains results for VOC-TCLVOA-10(8260D),SVOC-PAH(8270E),PCB(8082A),TPH GC(8015D),Mercury(7471B),Metals ICP-TAL(6010D),TCLP ICP Metals(6010D),TCLP Mercury(7470A),Corrosivity(9045D),Ignitability(1030),Reactive Cyanide(9012B),Reactive Sulfide(9034).

C. Analytical Techniques:

VOC-TCLVOA-10: The analysis performed on instrument MSVOA_W were done using GC column Rxi-624SIL MS 30m, 0.25mm, 1.4 um, Cat. #13868.The analysis of VOC-TCLVOA-10 was based on method 8260D.

SVOC-PAH: The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_G using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The samples were analyzed on instrument BNA_P using GC Column ZB-SemiVolatiles Guardian which is 30 meters, 0.25 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalog # 7HG-G027-17-GGA. The analysis of SVOC-PAH was based on method 8270E and extraction was done based on method 3541.

TPH GC: The analysis were performed on instrument FID_G. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis were performed on instrument FID_F. The column is RXI-1MS which is 20 meters, 0.18mm ID, 0.18 um df, catalog 13302. The analysis of TPH GC was based on method 8015D and extraction was done based on method 3541.

PCB: The analyses were performed on instrument GCECD_P. The front column is ZB-MR1 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.5 um df, Catalogue # 7HM-G016-17. The rear



column is ZB-MR2 which is 30 meters, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 μ m; Catalogue # 7HM-G017-11. The analysis of PCBs was based on method 8082A and extraction was done based on method 3541.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The analysis of Metals ICP-TAL was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3050 (soils). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7471B.

TCLP ICP Metals, TCLP Mercury: The analysis of TCLP ICP Metals was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of TCLP Mercury was based on method 7470A and TCLP extraction method was 1311.

Wetchem: The analysis of Ignitability was based on method 1030, The analysis of Reactive Cyanide was based on method 9012B, The analysis of Reactive Sulfide was based on method 9034 and The analysis of Corrosivity was based on method 9045D.

D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following Wetchem: 304 FURMAN SOIL of Corrosivity as sample was receive out of holding time.

The Surrogate recoveries were met for all analysis except following VOC-TCLVOA-10: 304 FURMAN SOIL [Toluene-d8 - 65%]. As corrective action lab renalyzed Vial B for this sample but it was not purged, therefore Vial A is reported as final results.

The Internal Standards Areas were met for all analysis except following VOC-TCLVOA-10: 304 FURMAN SOIL. As corrective action lab renalyzed Vial B for this sample but it was not purged, therefore Vial A is reported as final results.

The Retention Times were acceptable for all samples.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The Matrix Spike (72-11966MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Barium, Beryllium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Nickel, Potassium, Selenium, Sodium, Vanadium and Zinc because of soil assumes lot of unknown interferences which are not being analyzed and has suppression effect to the analytes added as spike during digestion, so this has soil matrix issue which has vey contaminated, interferences and soil matrix was homogenized before digestion as per the the method.

The MSD recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The Matrix Spike Duplicate (72-11966MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Antimony, Barium, Beryllium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Sodium, Vanadium and Zinc because of soil assumes lot of unknown interferences which are not being analyzed and has suppression effect to the analytes



added as spike during digestion, so this has soil matrix issue which has vey contaminated.interferences and soil matrix was homogenized before digestion as per the the method.

The Matrix Spike Duplicate (TR-05-12-5-2025MSD) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Mercury due to Sample matrix interference.

The RPD were met for all analysis except following

VOC-TCLVOA-10: The RPD for {VW1208SBSD01} with File ID: VW032589.D met criteria except for Bromochloromethane[34%]. Due to difference in BS and BSD concentrations.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds except following
The Blank Spike Duplicate met requirements for all compounds except following
VOC-TCLVOA-10: The Blank Spike Duplicate for {VW1208SBSD01} with File ID:
VW032589.D met requirements for all compounds except for
Bromochloromethane[145%]. Failing high but associated samples have no positive hit for
this compound, therefore no corrective action was taken.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements except following SVOC-PAH: The Continuous Calibration File ID BG064902.D met the requirements except for 2,4,6-Tribromophenol which is not our target compound, therefore no corrective action taken.

The Tuning criteria met requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all samples.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

E. Additional Comments:

The soil samples results are based on a dry weight basis.

Mercury, Metals ICP-TAL: The Post Digest Spike (72-11966A) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Barium, Beryllium, Chromium, Copper, Sodium, , Vanadium and Zinc due to unknown chemical interference of matrix with the addition of spike amount after digestion and before analysis; matrix has suppression effect during addition of spike.

As per special requirement for this project form-1 and Hit Summary are reported in mg/kg.

VOC-TCLVOA-10: Samples for MS/MSD for VOC analysis were not provided with this set of samples. The Blank Spike Duplicate is reported with the data. Trip Blank was not provided with this set of samples.



F. Manual Integration Comments:

Please refer to the Manual integration Report included with the Run Logs for information on the manual integrations performed.

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.

Signature	;	



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers "P" for ICP instrument "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric "T" for Titrimetric "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time



DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- ORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

Value	If the result is a value greater than or equal to the detection limit, report the value
U	Indicates the compound was analyzed for but was not detected. Report the minimum detection limit for the sample with the U, i.e. "10 U". This is not necessarily the instrument detection limit attainable for this particular sample based on any concentration or dilution that may have been required.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
В	 Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used: (1) When estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound (library search hits, where a 1:1 response is assumed.) (2) When the mass spectral data indicated the identification, however the result was less than the specified detection limit greater than zero. If the detection limit was 10ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L was calculated report as 3 J. This is flag is used when similar situation arise on any organic parameter i.e. Pest, PCB and others. Indicates the analyte was found in the blank as well as the sample report as "12 B".
Е	Indicates the analyte 's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
D	This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
P	This flag is used for Pesticide/PCB target analyte when there is >25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on Form 1 and flagged with a "P".
N	This flag indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This is only used for tentatively identified compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search. It applies to all TIC results. For generic characterization of a TIC, such as chlorinated hydrocarbon, the flag is not used.
A	This flag indicates that a Tentatively Identified Compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements





QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION

APPENDIX A

Project #: Q3790

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u> </u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u> </u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u> </u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u> </u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u> </u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u> </u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u> </u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u> </u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory	
Chronicle	
ANALYTICAL:	
Was method requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Was client requirement followed?	<u> </u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	<u> </u>

QA Review Signature:	SOHIL JODHANI	Date:	12/15/2025
----------------------	---------------	-------	------------