

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092, Phone : 908 789 8900, Fax : 908 789 8922

# **Cover Page**

Order ID:	Q3811
-----------	-------

Project ID: Semi Annual Sampling

**Client:** Dal Tile - Sunnyvale Plant

#### Lab Sample Number Client Sample Number

 Q3811-01
 Outfall 001

 Q3811-02
 Outfall 002

 Q3811-03
 Outfall 003

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Signature :		40/45/0005
	 Date:	12/15/2025

NYDOH CERTIFICATION NO - 11376

NJDEP CERTIFICATION NO - 20012



284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

### **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Dal Tile - Sunnyvale Plant** 

**Project Name: Semi Annual Sampling** 

Project # N/A Order ID # Q3811

Test Name: Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, pH, TSS

#### A. Number of Samples and Date of Receipt:

3 Water samples were received on 12/08/2025.

#### **B.** Parameters

According to the Chain of Custody document, the following analyses were requested: Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1, pH, TSS. This data package contains results for Mercury (7470A), Metals ICP-Group1 (6010D), pH (9040C), TSS (SM2540 D).

#### C. Analytical Techniques:

Mercury,Metals ICP-Group1: The analysis of Metals ICP-Group1 was based on method 6010D, digestion based on method 3010 (waters). The analysis and digestion of Mercury was based on method 7470A.

Wetchem: The analysis of pH was based on method 9040C and The analysis of TSS was based on method SM2540 D.

## D. QA/ QC Samples:

The Holding Times were met for all analysis except following Wetchem: Outfall 001 of pH, TSS, for Outfall 002 of pH, TSS, for Outfall 003 of pH and TSS as samples were receive out of holding time.

The MS recoveries met the requirements for all compounds except following Mercury, Metals ICP-Group1: The Matrix Spike (Outfall 001MS) analysis met criteria for all compounds except for Mercury due to sample matrix interference.

The MSD recoveries met the acceptable requirements.

The Blank Spike met requirements for all compounds.

The Blank analysis did not indicate the presence of lab contamination.

The Initial Calibration met the requirements.

The Continuous Calibration met the requirements.

The Duplicate analysis met criteria for all compounds.

The Serial Dilution met the acceptable requirements.

#### **E. Additional Comments:**

The temperature of the samples at the time of receipt was 12.9°C. Lab notified this issue to the client. See the communication in shipping Document section.



Signature\_\_\_\_

284 Sheffield Street, Mountainside, NJ 7092, Phone: 908 789 8900, Fax: 908 789 8922

I certify that the data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the
contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed
above. The laboratory manager or his designee, as verified by the following signature has
authorized release of the data contained in this hard copy data package.



## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS- INORGANIC

For reporting results, the following "Results Qualifiers" are used:

J	Indicates the reported value was obtained from a reading that was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected.
ND	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for, but not detected
E	Indicates the reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference
M	Indicates Duplicate injection precision not met.
N	Indicates the spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
S	Indicates the reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Addition (MSA).
*	Indicates that the duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
+	Indicates the correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
D	Indicates the reported value is from a secondary analysis with a dilution factor. The original analysis exceeded the calibration range.
M	Method qualifiers  "P" for ICP instrument  "PM" for ICP when Microwave Digestion is used  "CV" for Manual Cold Vapor AA  "AV" for automated Cold Vapor AA  "CA" for MIDI-Distillation Spectrophotometric  "AS" for Semi –Automated Spectrophotometric  "C" for Manual Spectrophotometric  "T" for Titrimetric  "NR" for analyte not required to be analyzed  Indicates the analyte's concentration exceeds the calibrated range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
Q	Indicates the LCS did not meet the control limits requirements
Н	Sample Analysis Out Of Hold Time





APPENDIX A

#### **QA REVIEW GENERAL DOCUMENTATION**

Project #: Q3811

	Completed
For thorough review, the report must have the following:	- — — — — -
GENERAL:	
Are all original paperwork present (chain of custody, record of communication, airbill, sample management lab chronicle, login page)	<u> </u>
Check chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples	<u> </u>
Is the chain of custody signed and complete	<u>✓</u>
Check internal chain-of-custody for proper relinquish/return of samples /sample extracts	<u>✓</u>
Collect information for each project id from server. Were all requirements followed	<u>✓</u>
COVER PAGE:	
Do numbers of samples correspond to the number of samples in the Chain of Custody on login page	<u>✓</u>
Do lab numbers and client Ids on cover page agree with the Chain of Custody	<u> </u>
CHAIN OF CUSTODY:	
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with form I results	<u>✓</u>
Do requested analyses on Chain of Custody agree with the log-in page	<u>✓</u>
Were the correct method log-in for analysis according to the Analytical Request and Chain of Castody	<u>✓</u>
Were the samples received within hold time	<u>✓</u>
Were any problems found with the samples at arrival recorded in the Sample Management Laboratory Chronicle	<b>√</b>
ANALYTICAL:	<u>·</u>
Was method requirement followed?	
Was client requirement followed?	<u>✓</u>
Does the case narrative summarize all QC failure?	<u> </u>
All runlogs and manual integration are reviewed for requirements	<u> </u>
All manual calculations and /or hand notations verified	

QA Review Signature: SOHIL JODHANI Date:	12/15/2025
--	------------